

## A PRELUDE TO THE SERIES SCRIPT 'FORECAST'.

This e-book is a starting point to discuss our climate challenges. Net Zero is not going to save us, trees don't absorb all our CO2, and the damage we have done already is far greater than most people can imagine. Let's ruffle some feathers. Are you ready?

Legal stuff:

This E-Book: "Polluters Must Die", is the work of Frank Baethe, Copyright © Frank Baethe, 2023. All rights reserved.

The script excerpts are part of the series script "Forecast", registered with the Writer's Guild of America. Copyright © Frank Baethe, 2023. All rights reserved.

Contact: YasWorx@gmail.com

For Comments or follows visit <a>@ClimateBook</a>, or see <a>#ToxinTerrorists</a> on Instagram.

For access to the full script, please email me. Thank you.

This is for entertainment purposes only, don't get it twisted now.

Killing the polluters who destroy our climate – that is self-defense, isn't it? They are terrorists and murderers - well, aren't they?

More than 1,700 environmental activists were murdered in the past decade – this is likely an underestimate, says Global Witness, as land defenders are killed by hitmen, crime groups and governments. (The Guardian)

We're not starting this; we're just fighting back - finally.

Real and effective activism means that polluters need to panic, politicians need to be terrified, bankers need to tremble, and CEOs need to quiver.

If they don't, then we are not doing it right.

Frank Baethe, "Forecast", 'Polluters Must Die'.

Why do we have a Climate Crisis now?

Take a bowling ball, apply a thick layer of varnish, then another, then one last layer. That's about how thin our atmosphere is, in relative terms. Scary, isn't it?

Our planet's atmosphere is a lot thinner and far more vulnerable than most people realize. A fact the toxin terrorists, the big polluters, and corrupt politicians are counting on – most people have no idea how fragile the whole system really is.

You need to know:

Of all the greenhouse gases (GHG) in our atmosphere we keep talking about CO2, fossil fuel related carbons. Their amounts in our atmosphere are measured in parts per million, (PPM). Anything below 350PPM CO2 is manageable, survivable, balanced. If we stay at 350 PPM or below, we can implement pollution reductions and scale back, then our planet stands a chance to absorb some additional CO2 and can reward us with forests and temperate weather, clean water, and plenty of fish in the ocean and so on.

At the beginning of 2023 there were over 420 PPM of CO2 in our atmosphere, but it gets worse. CO2 is not the only greenhouse gas and all too often our media, politicians and CEOs like to conveniently forget about all the other toxins and poisons we dump and pump into our environment. We cannot talk about our climate and not include Methane and Nitrous Oxide.

At the beginning of 2023, Methane was at 1908 parts per billion – or 1.9 parts per million. Methane is 80times+ worse than CO2 (80 x CO2) 1.9 x 80 = 152. That means all the methane in our atmosphere today is equal to 152 PPM of CO2.

By the way: 70-90% of "Natural Gas" is actually Methane. When we talk about natural gas, LNG etc.. we're talking about methane. Yes, your gas stove and oven at home – all Methane.

Nitrous Oxide, (N2O), was at 334 parts per billion – or 0.334 parts per million. HOWEVER, Nitrous Oxide is at least 250 times worse than CO2 ( $250 \times CO2$ ) 0.334 x 250 = 83.5 PPM of CO2.

CO2 = 420 PPM, Methane = 150 PPM equivalent, N20 = 80 PPM equivalent

Add them all up and we are at over 650 PPM CO2 equivalent, already. Yep, there it is. That is the reason why we have a Climate Crisis today. That is the reason why our government officials and polluters don't want you to put it all together. This is reality, these facts are unrefuted, based on official data – and it's actually worse.

You should be panicking right about now, stop reading and jump out the window.

We could manage 350PPM of CO2, maybe, but we are at over 650PPM of CO2 equivalent in 2023. That's why we can't do anything about the worsening climate crisis. Nobody wants to talk about 650 PPM. They don't want you to figure this out, lest you might complain and demand change. Anyone who talks about CO2 at only 420 parts per million is not talking about the whole picture or hopes that we are so dumb we don't know any better. Like some recent foolish talking bobble-heads in Congress.

That is the same as saying the victim only has one gunshot scratch on their leg, negating the fact that the victim also has 4 steel arrows through their chest.

We are way too late to make any meaningful changes to reverse or prevent our climate crisis. There is no chance to stop catastrophic climate change, it's accelerating faster and faster, more and more severe, exponential devastating changes year over year, it just gets worse and worse....All the greenwashing talk is just to pull the wool over our eyes. We are at over 650PPM of CO2 equivalent and rising.

Someone says: "Oh, it used to be hot millions of years ago..." Yes, so what, it also took thousands of years to get to that point. We've done it in less than 30 years, and we are accelerating our pollution and GHG (greenhouse gases) outputs. There is no comparison in our planet's history to what we have done to our home. Never before have so many greenhouse gases been pumped into the atmosphere in such a short amount of time.

The BIG lie: If we stop or reduce our emissions now, we can prevent warming beyond 1.5 degrees Celsius. That is a total lie. Absolute bull.... We don't have today's climate crisis because of what we pumped out today or yesterday. It is getting hotter and hotter because of all the GHG we *already* pumped out in the last 100 years, but especially in the last 20 years. Even if we stopped all GHG pollution, today, it will still get hotter and hotter, for at least 100 years or longer.

Besides, in the summer of '22, Austria already broke through the 2-degree Celsius threshold, among many States and cities. We are beyond stopping the increase to 2, we won't be able to stop a 3-degree Celsius increase either — which will happen in about 20-25years. We can only try to prepare for that, as futile as that actually is. The other truth is that we can't stop our GHG emissions. We. Can't. Stop.

There is no stopping the warming, we are beyond any and all tipping points – and we are still increasing our emissions. The insanity, we are above 650 PPM, and we keep on pumping out more and more and more pollution.....

Imagine this: if we turn a hose of hot water on you - it will get really hot for you. When we turn it off, you are no longer hot. You are hot for a few seconds, then the stream of water stops, you dry off, no harm, no foul. However....

.... the atmosphere is like a pool of very hot water, we're standing up to our necks in hot water. If we point the hot water hose on our heads - our ears get very hot. But if we turn off that water hose, we are still up to our necks in hot water. Turning off the production of *additional* greenhouse gases does NOT turn off the heating effect of the existing ones. Stopping the

production of new and additional GHG now does NOT *remove* the ones that are already up there. It's all the GHG that are already in our atmosphere that cause the current climate crisis. We are already at over 650 PPM CO2 equivalent, and we are making it worse, every day.

If our planet had the ability to absorb all these GHGs, then it would have done that, and we would not have a climate crisis. Let that sink in for a moment. There are fools out there who actually believe that our planet absorbs all the CO2 we pump out. The fact that we have a climate crisis proves that our planet, oceans, forests etc.. are not able to absorb any more GHG, the ones we already pumped out are obviously far too much for our planet to handle. If our planet could absorb any more CO2 the volume in our atmosphere would not climb any higher, but the number in the atmosphere keeps going up, fast. That is proof that our planet can't absorb any more, otherwise CO2 would not end up in our atmosphere, it would be in trees and marshes and oceans, and we wouldn't see such a dramatic increase in its volume. We are beyond the threshold of what our planet can absorb, far beyond.

Take a small plant and cover it with a glass dome. Slowly pump CO2 into the glass. The plant lives, it absorbs the CO2, happy plant, happy experiment. Now pump the exhaust from 10 nasty diesel trucks into the same small glass cover, the plant will die. You killed it with too much CO2. That's what we have done to our climate and planet. That's the evergrowing volume of just the CO2 in our atmosphere. We are pumping out far more CO2 than our planet can handle. We crossed that line about 15 years ago.

Everything we are pumping out today will *prolong* and intensify the crisis even more, but there is no stopping it. If we reduce our CO2 output to zero today, that will not stop the climate crisis. It will lessen the warming in about 100 years from now, (if we turn off Nitrous Oxide production), but CO2 stays in our atmosphere for over 300 years and longer. Stopping all CO2 production today will not stop the warming for the next few hundred years. We can't stop or reverse the climate crisis – it will get worse and worse, for at least 100years or longer. Did I repeat myself enough in this chapter?

The first step in solving any problem is acknowledging that, indeed, yes, there is a problem, a very big problem.

650 PPM CO2 equivalent is an absolute nightmare of a problem. We already have far too much CO2 in our atmosphere, our planet can no longer absorb the GHG we already pumped out, never mind all the additional GHGs we are pumping out every day at ever increasing volumes. And we act as if it didn't matter.

We need to acknowledge 650 PPM CO2 before we have any more useless discussions with corrupt politicians and greedy toxin terrorist CEOs.

The Tundra is melting. Another BIG problem. Next....

The script excerpts in this book are formatted for this book. The actual script is, of course, properly formatted. These excerpts do not reflect the actual story timeline.

SCRIPT EXCERPT "FORECAST"

# INT. D.C. - SMALL SUBURBAN HOME - EARLY MORNING

Living room, a few empty liquor bottles, Chinese food boxes, almost organized. Exotic fish tank, Steven Leon Jackson, SLACK, (mixed, 52), in boxer shorts and tank top, bicep muscles, a few small tattoos, a badly healed scar on his shoulder, feeds his fish while talking to them.

SLACK

Now, you two, you two keep loving each other, you hear?

Cell phone rings, he drops some fish food outside the tank, "shit".. rushes to pick up his cell.

SLACK

Special Agent Slack – Yes Sir. What do you mean, really, three, already? –

He said, 'There will be more'? -.-

Did you say, 'climate killer', seriously? That's what they got from her? - Well, that's new.

Tina is only in her fourth year, she's not ready, she's getting good on profiling, physics off the charts, yeah, but she's -.-

Slack touches the fish tank, as if he could pet them, he smiles longingly at them.

SLACK (CONT'D)

Well then, yes Sir, new serial killer team - coming right up.

The TUNDRA.

The Tundra, frozen ground, a few hundred feet thick in some places, sometimes referred to as 'Permafrost'— is no longer frozen. Unknown amounts of methane, ever increasing, faster and more and more, are escaping the Tundra every day. There are explosions in the Tundra from methane bubbles that accumulate underground.

In Alaska, there is a little lake that releases 10 tons of methane per day. Just one tiny lake. That's nothing, right? However, there are tens of thousands of little lakes all over the Tundra, and more and more are forming all the time. They accumulate from the melting ice in the ground, then they absorb more heat, warm water goes ever deeper into the ground, and eventually they all begin to release methane. We can't check on thousands of little lakes. Even if we could, we can't stop the methane from rising up and entering our atmosphere.

How about some scary math? Say there are 10,000 little lakes, each release only 10 tons of Methane per day. 100K tons a day x 360 days = 36 million tons a year. Times 80, since methane is 80times worse than CO2 = 2.8 billion tons CO2 equivalent, just from the melting Tundra, per year, for now. Now can we call it a problem?

The Tundra will keep on melting, slowly for now (which is already a huge problem) and then the melting will increase, ever more, in ever more places etc.. there will be more and more methane coming out of the ground. Even if it's warming ability dissipates after 25 years, the sheer volume increase will make it all worse. Trees and carbon capture fantasies are not removing methane from our atmosphere. Nothing can stop the ever-increasing amounts of methane coming from the Tundra and reaching our atmosphere. Ergo: It will get hotter and hotter, no matter what we do.

The tipping point to prevent the Tundra from melting was over 30 years ago or even longer. It's a bit late now to worry about it, isn't it? We can't stop the release of Methane from the Tundra.

Just in late July, the largest crater in the Tundra was discovered, a giant sink hole, collapsing from the melting and the relentless heat. A little over 1 Kilometer in diameter. Nobody knows how much methane this giant will release. The scientific term here is called a 'Mega Slump'. They are forming all over the Tundra, until there is no more frozen ground - at all. It's just more proof that our planet is rapidly warming up, meaning, we have broken through the planet's ability to absorb greenhouse gases by a long shot. There is no turning back.

Methane also leaks from every oil storage tank, gas line, pipeline, oil well, gas well etc... they all leak methane. Yes, all of them. They need to be plugged, but that costs money. Many producers try to plug their leaks, but far more just don't care. What if there was jail time for leaking methane? That could be a good start.

Animal agriculture. THE planet killer. Next.

# INT./ EXT. BRONX - NICE WALK-UP APARTMENT - EARLY EVENING

City noise in the background, lock opens, woman in business suit enters, turns the lights with her elbow, tries to hold on to her purse, mail, briefcase and keys. Purse falls.

## **WOMAN**

Oh fuck's sake, every time, damn-it.

Kicks the door shut behind her. Wiggles off her high heels into a corner, drops mail on a table by the entry, quickly perusing them, puts her ID Badge chain on top of the mail.

She walks through a hallway, unsnaps her bra through her blouse, exhales and turns a corner into her bedroom, throws her jacket on the bed. She jumps back, screams.

## **WOMAN**

HOLY SHIT, HELP, WHO THE FUCK ARE YOU, HELP ME!!

She runs out of the room, man in a short crew cut (MARINE, Latino, muscled, mid 30s) chases her, kicks her leg, she tumbles into a wall, falls down screaming.

Marine slouches in a spooky dominant walk towards her, silenced gun in his gloved right, a strange echoing creepy voice signals his unbridled insanity, stares at her.

## **MARINE**

Hi Cathy, welcome home sweetie. Oh, look at chu, eh, look, how cute you are, huh?

He inspects her facial features with an evil grin, while moving the silencer across her face.

# **MARINE**

How was work today, honey?

Puckers his lips, moves hair out of her panicked face.

# WOMAN

Who the fuck are you, what do you want, GET OUT!

She hits him a few times, he blocks with his elbow, just smiles and takes the hits, laughs, enjoys her struggle, then he pushes violently forward, grabs her throat, stands her up against the wall.

MARINE (whispers)

Let's play a little game, shall we?

He licks her ear, makes sucking sounds.

**WOMAN** 

Fuck you PERVERT! You piece of shit!

She tries to push him away, takes a step to the side, he throws her on the floor again. Aims his gun at her head.

Marine grins and winks at her, taunting, licks his lips.

**WOMAN** 

What the fuck do you want, huh, WHO ARE YOU?

**MARINE** 

Cause you on the list, baby. They say you're a naughty girl.

**WOMAN** 

The what? What the fuck is this?

He leans in and presses the silencer against her mouth, gleefully smiles at her, trying to capture her scent.

MARINE

You are a naughty girl, right? You a greedy little money whore, aren't ya, huh? They want you DEAD, they want you to DIE, you get that?

He looks her up and down, stares at her chest.

**WOMAN** 

FUCK YOU, what the hell are you talking about?

**MARINE** 

Some chemical storage deal, they say you came in, financed the whole operation, greedy little Cathy just couldn't walk away, eh?

He puts the silencer under her chin, pushes her head back against the wall, grabs her between her legs.

WOMAN

Ouch. What, the Dakota storage? What the fuck?

MARINE

Yep baby, they say you financed some real dirty company, that fits the dirty Cathy, huh? You like it dirty, don't you?

He presses the silencer into her chest and massages her ear.

**WOMAN** 

Are you nuts? You're here because of that, what, fuck you!?

He steps back, aims at her chest...

# MARINE (ice-cold voice) )

You gotta go girl, bye baby.

In stunned disbelief she stares at the gun.

Two quick shots to her chest, she slides down the wall, chokes. Marine, very relaxed, steps closer, carefully aims at her head, fires two quick shots. He kneels next to the dead body, moves hair out of her face, strokes her head, touches her lips, closes her eyes.

MARINE

Oh, honey, what a waste.

He slowly opens the front door, city noises rush in, carefully peaks around each corner, steps out, removes hoodies from his shoes, slowly and quietly closes the door.

On the side table, we see her company ID badge from HighTower Capital Bank.

Animal Agriculture.

N2O, CH4, trucking animals, trucking their feed, trucking the fertilizer, most people don't have any idea how bad, filthy, and toxic animal agriculture is for our planet.

Imagine the size of North America, yes, the entire USA and Canada, all that landmass. That is the size of all the farmland on our planet. When we combine all the small farms of the world and all the giant farms, put it all together, we get roughly the size of North America. That is 9,540,000 square miles, about 16.5% of the earth's land area.

Only 20% of all that farmland feeds humans. 80% of all that farmland feeds only animals. This should be shocking to everyone on earth. That gargantuan size of land is used only to feed animals, then we kill and slice those animals, so we can stuff ourselves with questionable and often toxic cadaver meat.

All that land requires ungodly amounts of fertilizer. That means Nitrous Oxide, N2O. All that land requires water to grow all that feed for all the animals. All that N2O is 250times worse than CO2 (minimum, more likely 300times worse) and clogs up our atmosphere, drastically increasing the heat on our planet, closing that oven door for good. Animal agriculture is a very dirty nasty and major contributor to our Climate Crisis.

Mind you, that feed then has to be transported by trucks and container ships. For example: Saudi Arabia owns huge swaths of land in Arizona, to grow Alf Alfa, for the cows in Saudi Arabia. So they use groundwater to water these fields. But Alf Alfa can be farmed 8+ times a year, it requires incredible amounts of water - now Arizona is running dry, the groundwater is disappearing. Didn't see that coming, did we now? How did we miss that?

Then they ship the animal feed via container ships to the Middle East. They do not pay for the damage they cause in Arizona. Local farmers are going out of business, new laws are being enacted trying to stop the flow of water from Arizona to Saudi Arabia.

With all the feed for animals requiring water, it takes over 2000gallons of water for 1lb of beef to land on our plate. 2000 gallons. If animal farming and agriculture had to pay \$0.10 cents per gallon of water, a pound of beef would cost \$200 before it is sliced, diced, packaged, transported, and then marked up 100% at your local store. No industry is paying a fair price for water, none. They use water as if it will never run out and there are never any droughts or consequences. That's a bit insane, isn't it? The waste in this industry is disgusting and wouldn't be possible without our billions of dollars in subsidies.

There is a new slaughterhouse in China, 12 stories high, like a giant office building. They kill 1.5mil pigs a year, over 5,000 pigs a day. Imagine the sewage of blood and guts, just the

logistics of trucks arriving 24/7 to offload five thousand pigs a day....the horror. All those pigs had to be fed, then transported, and after the slaughter there is more CO2 produced for the next leg of the transport of the cadaver slices to restaurants and stores.

Animal agriculture is the most destructive and polluting practice on earth. They destroy the Amazon Rainforest to make more room for grazing cows. And if they can't find any more land, they burn the forest, slaughter the people who live there and just take the land. Animal Agriculture is a poster child for Toxin Terrorists. Banks love financing this industry, there is so much money to be made.... Corrupt politicians love this industry, they donate and take politicians and supreme court judges on trips, the money flows in all directions, the party is just getting started, screw the planet, screw the people, oh c'mon, what's a few antibiotics, so what if the meat is poison, be a lamb, don't look at it like that, it's the economy, stupid, it works, keep eating meat, keep feeding the system, screw the climate, who cares....

It's disgusting how corrupt and ridiculous our governments really are. Without subsidies most of these toxic and destructive parts of animal agriculture could not exist. We are using our tax Dollars to destroy our planet, while we are senselessly killing billions of animals every year and poison ourselves. This is part of the 1.2 trillion gallons of industrial wastewater we dump into the oceans every year.

E-coli viruses end up in lettuce, because green leaf fields are next to giant cattle concentration camps, the cow's poop enters the water, the green fields use that water for their crops. A lot of greens get sprayed with manure water. Enjoy that spinach.

If we all knew what's actually in the meat and fish we eat, if we really watched how that pound of beef was made, from baby calf to plate, we would never allow this nasty filthy industry to destroy our climate and poison us. Just watch a few documentaries, I dare you to really watch and pay attention. Start here: Cowspiracy, Food Inc., What the Health, Forks over Knifes, We're eating our way to Extinction, Poisoned: The Dirty Truth About Your Food. Watch those and you will see how insane we truly are.

I haven't said anything about the colossal volume of Methane associated with animal agriculture. Yeah, billions of animals obviously pose a significant problem to climate. We kill more animals than ever before—90 billion—are killed each year for food. They all produce methane, they all require food, that requires water and fertilizer etc..... Animal Agriculture is not sustainable. Animal Agriculture is a major cause for our climate crisis, a main source for cancer, the main reason for water shortages and a huge contributor to toxic runoff in our oceans. And they all donate to our smiling politicians.

Bon Appetit, you want some lettuce with that?

Net Zero. Total Hogwash. Next.

# **EXT. CRIME SCENE INTERSECTION - DAY**

A mangled Mercedes shows rollover damage to all sides, garbage truck in middle of intersection, broken windshield, police vehicles, ambulance, big commotion, crime scene tape, neighbors standing about, cell phones filming.

A sedan rolls up, man walks to paramedics, shows his badge.

**RONO** 

Hi there, I'm detective Rono. You guys call homicide? This wasn't an accident?

**PARAMEDIC** 

Definitely not sir, not this one.

**RONO** 

How you figure?

**PARAMEDIC** 

Victim has two gunshots to the head. When we saw that, we called you guys and left everything the way we found it. We barely opened the door. The rest is all yours.

**RONO** 

Gee, thanks a bunch.

Rono bends down to the bloody body, victim's head faces the passenger side. "Who are you?" He looks at the employee badge around her neck, 'oh shit, oh my god', grabs his cell, takes a picture, makes a call.

(SKIPPING AHEAD)

Rono walks around, cell to his ear. FBI car arrives, two agents walk towards him.

AGENT1

Are you detective Rono? (show their badges)

**RONO** 

Yes, thanks for coming.

AGENT2

Not sure about the thanks, we were told you were highly distressed and agitated, demanding that we rush over here, right away. All that for one murder? You wanna fill us in a bit here? You know the vic?

## **RONO**

No, I don't know her. But my son told me she is on the list, you know, that polluter list -

# AGENT1

-.- Uh, your son? Sorry, what list?

# **RONO**

You guys don't have the list, or just YOU TWO agents, you don't, are you serious?

Oh boy, OK, OK, - My brother, he works at HighTower. My son found this list, online, where they target employees of the Bank - so he is afraid for his uncle, he showed me that list, and --.-

## AGENT2

-.- Wait, whoa, what? Who is targeting what list, what exactly are you saying, let's try to keep it simple for us - dumb FBI agents who don't know squat about some list. From the beginning, please - dead woman, killed by two gunshots to the head -.- what can you tell us?

# **RONO**

They will kill HighTower Capital employees, like this one, unless their CEO denounces all business dealings with DraxCo Oil, and gets rid of all fossil fuel related funding. It's on the dark web.

Net Zero. As if! Zero Chance.

Net Zero is a SCAM, yes, yes it is. Net Zero is complete bullsh..... it can never work, and it will never have the paradise-fantasy impact the corrupt politicians and toxin terrorists want you to believe. They. Are. Lying.

We basically have to plant an additional 40billion trees yesterday. We pump out more than 40billion tons of CO2 every year. In order to absorb all that, to get to "net zero", we need 40billion more trees, as one tree absorbs about 1 ton of CO2 per year. We had better start planting a decade ago.

While those newly planted 40billion trees take care of some of the annual CO2 output, they do not absorb any methane or nitrous oxide, they do not absorb any C2F6, released by the production of solar panels etc.... Net Zero is not real, Net Zero is a marketing ploy and false fantasy talking point for politicians.

The atmosphere already has far too many GHG. The climate crisis cannot be solved by slowing down the *additional* pollution – it can only be solved by removing the pollution that is already there – AND stopping new pollution. Yeah, that means it cannot be solved – for a very long time.

The reality is that Net Zero is a total fraud on the public. Say we pump out 40billion tons of CO2 per year (actually more), so we need an additional 40billion trees to absorb that. However, that works only if we do not cut any more trees, or lose any more to forest fires, (Canada is on fire, forests are burning in Russia etc.) or bugs, or tornados, or the timber industry, or droughts etc......

Until we have these additional 40billion trees, we need to make up for all the billions of tons of CO2 we pump out until the time when we finally have the extra billions of trees. Still with me? Over the next 10 years we will pump out an additional 400 Billion+ Tons of CO2 (more likely 500bln tons). How do we think we're going to absorb all of that in 10 years from now? And how much worse will our climate crisis get until then; will we lose another 2% of all of our forests by then?

The forests we have left on our planet today can't even absorb what we pump out right now, and we are losing more and more forest coverage every day. Even if we plant more trees, it won't even make up for the ones we lose. We can't plant our way out of our pollution production. We can't grow our way to Net Zero. We are losing/destroying millions of acres of forests every year.

Here is a side thought: We lose about 40 million acres of forests every year to wildfires. So we need to replant 40 million acres, every year. That is a huge space, and thus impossible for us to re-grow. Plus, it takes a few years for tree younglings to become trees and absorb a ton

of CO2 per year. While we are re-growing these forests, we pump out even more CO2 than before, so we can never catch up. Plus, when these 40million acres burned, they released a lot of CO2, which we will also never get back out of our atmosphere.

The tub is full, we pour five buckets of water into it, but we only take out 2 cups of water. Yeah, our tub is overflowing. Our atmosphere is chock-full of GHG. Net Zero is not going to empty the tub, and we keep pumping more and more GHG into the atmosphere. Net Zero is not reversing or even mitigating the climate crisis. Net Zero cannot be achieved as advertised.

The big scam of Net Zero is the fact that all these toxin terrorist companies use it as an excuse why they don't have to reduce their GHG output today, because "...oh, we gonna get carbon capture soon, we don't have to change anything now....". They're lying to us, so they can keep pumping out their toxins and make as much money as possible.

On top of the big lie, Net Zero is not removing any methane or nitrous oxide, sulfur or any other highly potent GHG. Net Zero is only talking about CO2. That means that even IF, ever, we were to come close to Net Zero, it will still take at least 200 years or more for all the CO2 in the atmosphere to diminish, at that time, because Net Zero does not remove any existing CO2, there is too much of it already and we are pumping out ever more. And we still have to deal with all the nitrous oxide and methane – which we're pumping out at ever-increasing volumes.

In 15 years, around 2038, we will have about 500 PPM of just CO2, we will have about 200+ PPM CO2 from Methane, and we will have another 120 PPM CO2 from Nitrous Oxide: a total of over 820 PPM CO2. Remember, a quasi-safe level would be under 350. Yes, we can get there in just 15 years. That sound too fast? OK, OK, let's make it 20 years then, if that makes you feel any better. Here you go.....

... even before 2045 we will have over 800 PPM CO2 equivalent in our atmosphere. We will break through 2 degrees by 2035 and we will break through the apocalyptic 3 degrees well before 2050. — Mind you, these numbers hold true even if we don't increase our pollution output from now until then. Oh, I forgot, we will also dump another 12 trillion gallons of untreated industrial wastewater into our oceans over the next 10 years, that's just the USA alone, add China, Europe, and Russia and.... In just 10 years from now it will be exponentially worse than it is today. That's not fear mongering, that's just a simple statistical trajectory. It's not like we gonna stop pumping out CO2 tomorrow, is it?

# Now put this in the proper perspective:

IF, that is a laughable, impossible fantasy, <u>IF</u>, if we are able to remove 50 billion tons of CO2 from our air, by 2045, every year, then the climate crisis will be considerably worse than it is today. Yes, it will be worse! Because even if we remove 50bln tons of CO2 per year, by 2045, we will still have well over 800PPM CO2 equivalent in our atmosphere by then. We produce 50bln tons per year by 2045, we remove about 50bln tons a year, (Net Zero), but we are NOT removing any of the *additional* GHG we pumped into our atmosphere from now until then.

And by then we will be beyond 800PM, so it will be a lot worse than it is today – even if we ever get to Net Zero.

We have to remove far more than 50 billion tons of CO2 by 2040, every year, a lot more, or it will be far too late. And that number is so utterly out of comprehension, there is nobody on earth today that can tell us how we might ever be able to achieve that. Just on these few numbers alone, we can see that it will get a lot worse.

Please, somebody, please, show us how we will stop all of that from happening in the next few years. Does anyone have any idea how to stop all of that? Anyone, please, please step forward, we're waiting...

... (hello?)
... (crickets)
... (anyone?)
... (crickets)
... (crickets)
... (sunset)

... (fade out).

We have caused far too much damage already for Net Zero to have any meaningful impact, or to have any impact in time to save our climate from making our planet too hot for humans. Nobody alive today will ever get to see it. Anyone that says that Net Zero will reduce the climate crisis has not done the math.

## Then came this, from Bloomberg Green:

Six months after becoming the chief executive at Shell Plc, Wael Sawan quietly ended the world's biggest corporate plan to develop carbon offsets. The oil giant had earlier committed to spend up to \$100 million a year to build a pipeline of carbon credits, part of the firm's promise to zero out its emissions by 2050. Those offset goals have been retired, along with a plan to harvest a whopping 120 million carbon credits annually by the end of the decade from projects that sequester carbon with trees, grasses, or other natural resources. The pullback reflects both Sawan's renewed commitment to the oil-and-gas business that generates most of Shell's profits, and an admission that the prior goals were simply unattainable. (END QUOTE)

Yeah, funny how that all works out, isn't it? But he kept the big applause and the big grin when they announced the plan. Turns out they are just nothing more than greedy corrupt toxin terrorists. Let the oil money flow....

Guess what, China is planting trees like crazy. They have an actual national plan to plant 40bln trees by 2050. Where is the plan for the USA? Where are the tree-planting plans and actions in the UK, Germany, France, Australia, Brazil, Mexico, Japan, Canada etc.... how many corrupt toxin terrorist governments actually have any plans and take actions to plant? And even with all that, we are still losing more forest coverage than we plant. It's a colossal net loss game we can't win – not like this. Over 26million acres of forests burned in Canada, and it's still burning there, (September 2023).

We do not have any concentrated organized planet-wide planting initiatives. Even the Chinese plan is not going to matter. 40bln trees in the next 30 years, well, we will lose just about that many, if not more, in the next 20 years. The net effect of our deforestation and climate change induced loss of forests is far greater than any planting plans. We lose – no matter what.

Instead of national planting initiatives, we print more money for our military, because, you know, killing other humans is far more important than trying to save our climate. The military industrial complex spends so much on bribes (donations and lobbying) they must get some return on their investment, right? So let's build more bombs, instead of planting trees. Don't forget, among the world's biggest consumers of fuel, militaries account for 5.5% of global greenhouse gas emissions.

SCRIPT EXCERPT "FORECAST"

Slack and a rookie FBI agent (TEMO) take a look at a crime scene.

# INT./EXT. COAL ASH POND VIRGINIA - DAY

Slack and Temo walk up to a police officer, show their FBI badges, walk past, and survey the devastating scene, filled with forensic and other official personnel.

**SLACK** 

I'm telling you, we're not gonna find any clues here, the only place to catch these guys is online.

**TEMO** 

I understand, but I need a better picture on this climate movement. Think about it, 'pollute and die', really? I mean, almost every company is polluting, right?

**SLACK** 

These guys are going after the more blatant polluters, you know, the ones that directly impact the environment and refuse to do anything about it.

**TEMO** 

How do you mean?

**SLACK** 

Look, this is an open pond, just a hole for their toxic sludge.

They could have put a liner, but they were too cheap and greedy. They refuse to invest to protect the environment.

**TEMO** 

So it's all about money?

**SLACK** 

Isn't it always?

**TEMO** 

What are you saying?

**SLACK** 

I bet they didn't want to spend the money on a liner, but they probably increased their salaries, or stock options, paid higher dividends, paid themselves bonuses... all the things that make *them* more money. Know what I mean?

**TEMO** 

Assholes, you mean they were assholes?

**SLACK** 

I'm a senior special agent with the FBI; I would never make an asshole statement.

**TEMO** 

Got it, we are FBI agents, we don't make asshole statements, noted.

SLACK

Look at those bodies....

**TEMO** 

Toxin terrorists, right? You think they will ever stop killing CEOs?

**SLACK** 

If a company tries to rein in their pollution, I think they are pretty safe. But if you blatantly pollute, snub your nose at the environment, these guys will put you on a list and -.-

**TEMO** 

-.- and somebody might drag you out to a pond and blow your asshole head off.

SLACK

Yeah, something like that. Can we go now? Had enough?

**TEMO** 

This is actually shocking to me.

**SLACK** 

That they are such blatant polluters or that they got shot?

**TEMO** 

That they are such assholes. And also that they got such a swift justice from activists. How long does it take for a lawsuit, get the EPA involved, drag it all out to court, how long, what you think?

**SLACK** 

If you can even get a lawsuit going at all, probably four to eight years, and then they might still be allowed to pollute. I mean, they are allowed to do this, now.

**TEMO** 

Exactly. I get it, I get the activist point of view. Swift justice. One afternoon, six major players from one polluting company gone. That's gotta send a message, right?

**SLACK** 

Yeah, well, it could send the message that executives need more armed security, so they have more costs, so they need to increase their salaries and bonuses. It's not as easy as you want it to be.

**TEMO** 

I get that, but still. This has got to have an impact. If this doesn't change anything - what does?

Sunny, is it?

The Sun cycle is not the culprit of today's climate crisis. This silly idea is just ridiculous. It was started in the early 70's by an Oil company scientist. The math and the facts prove this to be a total troll party, stop the insanity, don't believe that sun cycle story – it's total madness. Why do people keep falling for this?

This idea is the same as the trolls that tell us the earth is flat, or that a president is a reptilian shape-shifting alien. The fact that our stratosphere is cooling, dramatically getting colder – that is the end of any cycle talks. Yes, our stratosphere is cooling. There, that should be it, that's the proof, nothing else needs to be said. See? It's that easy to debunk the sun cycle hoax.

OK, OK, fine, if you insist, I will elaborate......

Imagine your oven is on, 200 degrees, it keeps pumping out heat, but the door to the oven is open, yes, the door is open. That means the heat from the oven is escaping and it will not get any hotter inside the oven if it even ever reaches the 200degrees. Now close that door and leave your oven running for the next week, never turning it off. Getting kinda hot in there?

That is the same principle for our climate crisis. All the GHG in our atmosphere are like the door to that oven, we have so many GHGs in our atmosphere that the heat radiating off our planet (thermal radiation) rises to the atmosphere on its way to outer space, but then it gets stuck there. The heat does not rise any further *through* the atmosphere, not anymore, it no longer escapes and passes through, it doesn't reach the stratosphere anymore. The GHG in the atmosphere trap all that rising heat – like closing the door to the oven. Now the atmosphere keeps most of that heat, the stratosphere above it no longer receives any heat – ergo, the stratosphere is cooling rapidly.

This is the proof that our GHG hold back the rising heat from our planet. The GHG in our atmosphere are the reason our planet's climate is getting hotter and hotter – not some sun cycle. 650 PPM of CO2 equivalent is preventing all that heat from passing through the atmosphere. The heat doesn't reach the stratosphere anymore, it gets stuck in the atmosphere.

GHG in our atmosphere radiate that trapped heat back down to Earth and our climate crisis keeps getting worse.

The total amount of heat added to our planet can be measured in our oceans, which are getting warmer and warmer, at an alarming rate. The amount of heat we added to our oceans in 2021

was equivalent to 7 nuclear bombs, every second! Just 10 years ago it was only 4 nuclear bombs per second. (no joke, look it up)

In 2019 we added about 5 nuclear bombs every second, now we are at 7 and it keeps getting hotter and hotter. We are about to double the heat our oceans absorb. Are these fools trying to say the sun's energy output *doubled*, in just the last 10 years or less? Seriously? If the sun's energy output didn't double, then how come we have double the amount of heat in our oceans? It's not the sun. Earth didn't move any closer to any heat sources in the galaxy.

The sun cycle is just a tiny fart in the storm of our gigantic climate crisis, just a flea on the elephant's butt, yelling helplessly at its imaginary gods in the universe..... The sun cycle is not responsible for today's climate crisis. That's. Not. It.

Never before, in the history of our planet, have there ever been 8 Billion people pushing trillions of gallons of toxins into the oceans and pumping billions of tons of GHG into the atmosphere at such a rapid pace. In geological terms of our planet, the damage we have done in the last 20 years occurred in less than the blink of an eye. There is no comparison in our planet's history.

Unless the sun has doubled in size, or gone super nova, or has increased its energy output by over 200%, in the last decade, then, NO, the idea of the sun cycle causing any part of our climate crisis is absolutely ludicrous, totally absurd and belongs in the flat earth category, right next to teleporting reptilian alien trolls. The energy output of our sun has not changed. Stop the insanity.

On the Milankovitch Cycle, Earth's axis is currently tilted 23.4 degrees, or about halfway between its extremes, and this angle is very slowly decreasing in a cycle that spans about 41,000 years. It was last at its maximum tilt about 10,000 years ago and will reach its minimum tilt about 10,000 years from now. It should be neither hot nor cold, we're right in the middle of it, so the Milankovitch Cycle has no effect on our climate right now.

NASA: Warming from increased levels of human-produced greenhouse gases is actually many times stronger than any sun effects due to recent variations in solar activity. For more than 40 years, satellites have observed the Sun's energy output, which has gone up or down by less than 0.1 percent during that period. The amount of solar energy Earth receives has followed the Sun's natural 11-year cycle of small ups and downs with no net increase since the 1950s.

Over the same period, global temperature has risen significantly.

The science doesn't add up for the 'cycle talk'. The stratosphere is cooling, dramatically, where did all the heat from our planet go? Why is it no longer rising past the atmosphere? The cycle is not increasing the heat on our planet - Greenhouse Gases do that.

Carbon Credits: A GIANT scam. Next.

# INT. D.C. MODERN FUNKY APARTMENT - EARLY MORNING

Cell phone chimes. Christina Rosa Gonzales, (Latina, TINA, 31), runs into living room, panties and T-Shirt, searches under a blanket, moves pillows, finds her cell, perky smile.

TINA

Yes, yes, agent Tina speaking.

Close up of Tina, smiles past the camera, bare footsteps, a shy grin, a slapping sound, Tina covers a wryly shriek, another slap, Tina squeals.

TINA

Oh, no Sir, I'm here, yes, yes boss, Mr. Slack. \*grin\*

Tina holds hands with a very dark black woman, (CLAUDIA, (26), her fiancé). Barely dressed, touching her hip.

TINA

Serial killer? Are you sure? How many victims do they - already?

-.- OK BOSS. On my way.

(to Claudia)

Listen, I gotta go baby. I promise, I'll tie you up when I get back, really. I'm gonna arrest you for indecent exposure and devastating sexuality - and I'll handcuff you.

Claudia fakes left, "catch me", runs into bedroom.

TINA

Babe, have you seen my gun and badge? I swear it was on the table last night, ugh.

CLAUDIA (O.S.)

So when is daddy Slack gonna make you a special agent finally, huh?

TINA

Hey now, what did I say about calling him "daddy"?

CLAUDIA (O.S.)

Yeah, yeah, but he calls you 'kid' and that makes him daddy, plus he got that daddy bod, you know?

TINA

Oh, so you been checking him out, huh? Oh I will - I'm gonna bite you.

Tina runs into the bedroom, both women shriek and laugh.

Carbon credits are more than 90% bullshit... yep.

Carbon capture is an idea; however, it is unproven and not scalable. There is a machine that turns CO2 into rocks, which are then supposed to be buried in the oceans. At the current production / absorption rate, we need 10 million of these capture facilities — by tomorrow! It could take 100 years to build all of those, even if we could print all that money without debt, the sheer construction effort makes it non-feasible - but it sure sounds good in the brochure. It's just more greenwashing.

Most companies often know that they don't have any real carbon mitigation, they are just paying for the advertising slogan. And uninformed consumers go out and buy more useless crap from them, because they believe the farce perpetrated by paid-for news channels.

When industry either blindly buys crap, or it buys crap on purpose, they then continue to produce toxins and poison our environment, unabated. Nothing stops them. On the contrary, now that they can show their 'carbon credits', we practically gave them a license to continue killing our climate. We're insane!

## FROM BLOOMBERG GREEN:

Inside the billion-dollar market for junk carbon offsets:

Rising acceptance that the world needs to cut carbon emissions fast has coincided with a parallel rise in ineffective solutions to the problem. There's now real danger that tools meant to slow global warming may end up allowing even more emissions. A prime example of that risk is carbon offsets.

These promissory notes offer buyers an accounting power: Polluters get to deduct emissions from their own ledgers simply by purchasing tons of carbon offsets sourced to projects elsewhere. That's a particularly attractive power to the thousands of corporations now setting net-zero plans.

In an exclusive investigation, Bloomberg Green for the first time has identified dozens of global companies — from banks and industrial giants to shopping websites — that rely on purchases of some of the cheapest and least-effective carbon offsets in order to burnish their green credentials. Some of these big brands, including Delta Air Lines and Credit Suisse, even claim to be operating as "carbon neutral" businesses as a result.

The cost of building your own renewable-energy capacity or retrofitting your buildings with better insulation is often far higher than buying offsets. And from an accounting perspective, the impact is the same. An airline that switches to sustainable aviation fuels, for instance, will probably spend much more to lower its own emissions than the cost of purchasing offsets generated by someone else.

Too many offsets fail to actually do anything. Barbara Haya, the director of the Berkeley Carbon Trading Project, views empty offsets as "paying for business as usual" behind a facade of

decarbonization. "My fear is that we're going to look back at this brief moment in time when we had to really rein in our emissions," she said, "and say we failed." (END QUOTE)

Let's try this: You can't say that from today on, those patches of forest 'over there', they are now MY CARBON OFFSET. That forest was already there, before you turned it into a greedy bogus carbon offset just for you. Obviously that forest is not enough to offset any additional carbon, otherwise there wouldn't be so much excess carbon in our atmosphere, we wouldn't have a climate crisis. Think about it.

We have a climate crisis today because there are too many GHGs in our atmosphere already. If our planet's oceans and forests had the capacity to absorb any additional CO2, then we would not have so much CO2 in our atmosphere as we do today, the stratosphere would not be cooling dramatically, and we would not have a climate crisis at all. The natural systems of our planet don't have the ability to absorb any more excess CO2, it's obvious that they cannot absorb any more. The natural systems of our planet are stretched beyond their capacity. That is the reason why our growing CO2 content in the atmosphere keeps going up. Even the Amazon rainforest has a limit to how much CO2 it can absorb, and we reached that limit in early 2020, the Amazon is now a net emitter of CO2.

If you say you will use "that forest over there" as your carbon offset, you are LYING. That forest isn't able to absorb any more CO2, evidenced by the fact that we have too much CO2 in our atmosphere already. Can you see that? That "forest over there" is NOT available to you! Toxin terrorists can't claim that any existing forests or grasslands help to absorb their additional and extra CO2 toxins. Fools! It doesn't work like that.

That forest has already been part of the planet's carbon sink. It cannot suddenly become just <u>your</u> carbon offset. What is wrong with these terrorists? You need to plant a new forest, one tree for every ton of CO2 that you pump out. We need more forests, obviously, since the existing ones are not enough to pull all this extra CO2 out of the atmosphere.

But we keep killing forests, burning them, cutting them down, so we don't have the base line of forests anymore. We lose more and more forests every year, you can't say that the <u>less</u> remaining forests will be your carbon offset for ever <u>more</u> CO2 production. That is retarded. Who is falling for this? What corrupt politicians allow this kind of con game?

We need to reduce the CO2 output and dramatically increase forest/tree coverage – everywhere. It's really simple, the fact that we have so much CO2 in our atmosphere, the fact that we have this ever-increasing climate crisis now - that proves that we have already pumped out far more GHG than our planet can handle. It's like asking 'why is the bathtub so full', while three streams of water are rapidly filling it over the rim....

<u>BIG IDEA</u>: Before we allow you to start drilling any new oil well, you need to show that you have planted the additional trees/forest to absorb the additional CO2 you are about to produce – BEFORE you start drilling that well.

Let's make sure the math is clear: The average carbon dioxide coefficient of distillate fuel oil is 860lbs CO2 per 42-gallon barrel (EPA 2021). If your oil well produces 1,000 barrels of oil per day, that equals: 860,000 lbs. of CO2, or 430 tons, per day. X 365 days = 156,950.

If you want to start drilling for an oil well that is expected to produce 1,000 barrels of oil per day —you first need to plant a new forest with 200,000 trees. Once that forest is 3 years old and actually truly absorbs 1 ton of CO2 per year per tree, then you can start drilling. Until then you will NOT get any permits for drilling. You must plant the forest BEFORE you drill. Easy, isn't it? That's exactly the same reason you buy diapers BEFORE the baby comes home the first time, not six months later!

We can't allow these toxin terrorists to just create ever more CO2 problems, without providing the solutions. They need to grow a forest with 200,000 trees because some of those youngling trees are just not gonna make it. Grow the solution for your pollution first, and then, and ONLY after that, then you might be allowed to drill for more oil. If they don't provide the solution first, then they are just greedy toxin terrorists. How are our politicians so dim they can't get this done? This solution is so easy and elegant. Oh, yeah, I forgot, our politicians get "donations" from oil companies....right.

Carbon capture technologies are not helping either. The few that are 'testing' their ideas are nice, cute, a novelty. However, we need enough carbon capture machines to catch over 40billion tons of CO2 every year, minimum. And that would only cover some of the annual GHG output, but that number would not change the climate crisis even one bit, as it just captures newly pumped out CO2, it's not enough to reduce the CO2 already up there... In Iceland there is a machine composed of eight carbon capturing modules made from 44 shipping containers with filters inside that are able to remove 4,000 tons of carbon dioxide per year. We need about 10 million of these machines. If we can build them bigger and stronger, maybe we can get away with just 5 million of these.... It is utterly absurd to imagine that construction effort. They need to get a lot better at this.

The corrupt and greedy companies buy cheap non-existing offsets that won't change anything, while they not only keep on pumping out their toxins, but they pump out ever more, and we keep rewarding them with higher and higher stock prices. That can't be right, can it?

A giant new oil drilling lease was granted for the Willow Project in Alaska. On one hand they say we need to consider our environment and the climate crisis, on the other we just go on and keep drilling for more and more CO2. The Willow Project has not planted any new forests, nor have they been tasked to do so. Surprised?

And then came word the Biden administration is releasing \$1.2 Billion Dollars, for two direct carbon capture projects. These projects are expected to remove 2 million tons of carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions each year from the atmosphere — an amount equivalent to the annual emissions from roughly 445,000 gasoline-powered cars. Yawn, seriously, that's it? Have you done the math on this? This must be a joke, right?

Do you see the issue? \$1.2 Billion - for just 2 million tons of CO2 removal. Now do the math for 50bilion tons of CO2. It would take roughly \$30 Trillion Dollars in today's money to capture 50 bln tons. And right there, that is funny. Never mind the galactic dollar amount but consider 25,000 times the construction effort to reach 50bln. It already sounds insurmountable, doesn't it?

"So, uh, anyone got like thirty trillion dollars lying around?"

Solar Panels. Almost. Next.

# INT. FBI CLASSROOM - DAY

LIST OF NAMES ON SEVERAL MONITORS, ABOUT 20 AGENTS SITTING IN THE ROOM.

FBI Supervisor walks to the podium, points at the monitors.

# **SUPERVISOR**

Yep, there it is ladies and gentlemen - the infamous "Climate List". Bankers, lawyers, CEOs, all targets for what appears to be probable 'climate vigilantes', as it is referred to -.-

AGENT IN BACK (V.O.)

The global warming avengers? Really?

Some agents giggle, Slack and Tina raise eyebrows. This is bigger than they feared.

# **SUPERVISOR**

We already have a banker, a finance broker, and several others, two in the chest, two in the head, all were on this list, all twenty-two caliber.

They call them 'Toxin Terrorists' and there's plenty of targets here - a whole lot of people out there pissed about the climate crisis. This isn't gonna end well.

Solar Panels produce incredibly dangerous Greenhouse Gases. The solar industry has grown to become one of the leading emitters of hexafluoroethane (C2F6), nitrogen trifluoride (NF3), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF6). These extremely potent greenhouse gases, used by solar cell fabricators, make carbon dioxide (CO2) absolutely harmless.

Hexafluoroethane has a global warming potential that is 12,000 times higher than CO2, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). It is 100 percent manufactured by humans and survives 10,000 years once released into the atmosphere. Nitrogen trifluoride is 17,000 times more potent than CO2, and SF6, the most treacherous greenhouse gas, is over 23,000 times more threatening than CO2.

The solar photovoltaic industry is one of the fastest-growing emitters of these gases, which are now measurably accumulating in our atmosphere according to the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). A NOAA study shows that atmospheric concentrations of SF6 have been rising exponentially. A paper published in the peer-reviewed journal Geophysical Research Letters shows that atmospheric NF3 levels have been rising 11 percent per year. Ouch.

China claimed it only released about 2500tons of the C2F6, then it turned out they actually released 15,000 tons of it. That is equivalent to 180 million tons of CO2. This alone requires 45,000 carbon capture machines to make up for it....or a new forest with 180 million trees. This is just the *extra* damage from one year – and our governments are doing nothing to counter this, nothing. And they keep adding more and more, year after year, so it can stay in our atmosphere for 10,000 years.

The manufacturing of solar panels is a very dirty business, and then we need to figure out what to do with all the broken panels later - they cannot end up in our landfills. There are thousands of tons of solar panel garbage out there – what are we supposed to do with all of that?

Yes, solar energy is a fabulous solution, if we could make panels without the GHG gases, if they would be more efficient, if they would last longer, if, if, if.... or at least burn off the gases, but that would cost money, and nobody wants to pay more for a cleaner life on our planet, right?

When you look deep behind the curtain, there is a lot of slave labor involved in the production of solar panels, never mind their toxic byproducts. It's not a pretty picture.

Something similar is going on with Wind generators, too expensive and energy intensive to manufacture, transport and oil them up, then they only last 20 years, etc.... we don't really have any truly green and sustainable oil independent and non-polluting solutions, yet. These are good starts, but please don't say that they are the end-all be-all. They are not, not yet. But they are all one hell of a lot better than coal!

Electric Vehicles are not really the answer we were meant to believe. They are trying to work on it, but our electric grid can't handle the load, transformers are not geared for that much power demand from millions of cars all trying to charge their batteries. If you want to force people to use electric cars only, then we need a much better power grid first, and we need 'clean electricity', which we really don't have, yet.

I don't believe that electric cars from today, 2023, are ready for mass adaptation. The car is clean, but it is an environmental nightmare to build it. We should talk about the child slave labor, cobalt/ lithium mines are operated by greedy corporations. The mines are worked by slave children, little kids dig in the dirt so we can get our hands on more lithium batteries. Without that child slave labor we would have to pay the real price for cobalt, and that would just be unacceptable, wouldn't it? We are basically saying we are OK with little kids dying in those mines - as long as we don't have to pay too much for our EVs, and those are not American children, and we can claim 'clean cars'.

We have to drive that EV for 300.000 miles before we have even a little bit of a positive impact on the environment. Meanwhile 80% of the electricity for all these EVs comes from coal fired powerplants, so we pump out a LOT OF CO2 by driving an electric vehicle. Weird, isn't it? And how do we recycle the burned-out batteries? What kind of toxic landfill nightmare is waiting for us there?

There should be a disclaimer document with every EV purchase:

You acknowledge your direct support for child slave labor, the destruction of our environment with polluting mines, you acknowledge that your vehicle will burn ungodly amounts of coal so you can pretend and virtue signal what a great human you are, while you parade in your EV.

Sign here	
-----------	--

And to think that cars don't matter at all when we talk about our climate crisis.

Yep, all cars, trucks etc.... are overshadowed by a giant multiplier you probably never thought about. When people try to push-on about EVs here and there, they have no idea how trivial cars really are. And if we would remove every car and truck, magically, right now, abracadabra – woosh, they are all gone. Well, then nothing would change as far as our climate crisis is concerned. Nothing would change. The atmosphere is still full of GHG, global warming will continue to get worse. There is one major player that makes our climate crisis worse every day, and far more than any cars....

Cargo. THE Planet Killer. Next.

Container Ships - the biggest killers?

As long as we keep adding more and more container ships, it doesn't matter how many cars or trucks we turn into electric vehicles. You should watch "Freightened" and "Seaspiracy". Less than 100 Container Ships put out more pollution than all the cars on our planet combined. Not even all the ships, just a few — and we bicker about cars?

The silly companies and internet trolls want to tell you that the "new" ships are 'only' half as polluting as the old ones. What they don't say is the new ships are all bigger, and that there are over 5,200 container ships and over 20K 'merchant ships', all of them are using super dirty bunker fuel. If new ships are only half as bad, that means that less than 200 of the new largest container ships pump out more pollution than all the cars on our planet combined. It's still a joke.

The sheer mass of over 5,000 container ships ends any discussion about electric vehicles or Net Zero and we all must reduce emissions and blah blah. One, just one big container ship pumps out more pollution than 50million cars. So when you think we have done this great thing for our planet, we replaced a few million cars with new EVs, oh boy, aren't we all overjoyed and happy? But then we add two more giant container ships to boost the economy for our growing population, and, well, now we have 100million more polluting vehicles – just the same. EVs don't change anything – for a very long time to come. (Plus, just because someone bought an EV, does not make their previous used car disappear. That car still exists, it will still consume oil and pump out CO2, somebody will be driving that old car, somewhere).

There is no such thing as Net Zero as long as we have thousands of container ships, thousands of merchant vessels, hundreds of cruise ships, and tens of thousands of fishing vessels on our oceans. Each one of these groups pollute our atmosphere far more than all the cars in the world.

Every time we use a container ship, it's an environmental nightmare. Every. Single. Time. Yes, the global scale, the added efficiencies, the economic output and blah, blah, blah. But nobody calculates the costs to our environment. Container ships destroy our environment, they pollute like no other. If we simply add the costs to clean up and repair the environment, for all the damage that a container ship causes — that could add \$30,000 to the cost for one container. Then suddenly nobody would want to use container ships anymore, so we would pollute far less.

"Wait just a minute here, Skippy, I want to use cheap child slave labor in China, and get dirt cheap products, so I can make huge profits, who cares about the planet...." Sound familiar? This is upside down, isn't it?

## From ClimateActionTracker.com

"Urgent action is needed as the (Shipping) sector shows no signs of peaking emissions, let alone decarbonizing. New historical emissions data reveal that the COVID pandemic had a limited impact, and after a slight dip in emissions in 2020, CO2 emissions began rising again in 2021, and without further policy action, they are likely to continue to do so right through to 2050.

Energy efficiency measures that came into effect at the beginning of the year are likely to reduce CO2 emissions in the near-term only, but at the expense of driving up overall GHG emissions as operators shift to LNG use (with its high methane emissions). The sector is not on track to meet its existing, insufficient 2030 target and its 2050 goals are even further off track." (End Quote)

Fishing vessels are killing our oceans and our climate. Large trawlers rip apart the ocean floor with nets as big as 40 Boeing 747s, they stir up the ground, release all kinds of sequestered CO2 while destroying the biosphere and tearing up living spaces for crustaceans. Never mind all the 'accidental by-catch'.

We only have about 10% of all fish left in our oceans, we already killed the other 90%. On top of that, large ships just dump their toxic bilge water and actively kill our oceans – because it is cheaper to dump that toxic sludge rather than pay for its removal at the next harbor. It's all about greed.

Just to wrap this up, Cruise Ships are on another level when it comes to destroying our planet. Just the 48 ships from Princess Cruise Lines pump out more pollution than all the cars in Europe combined. Yeah, let that sink in. So even if all of Europe were running on EVs, it wouldn't matter at all. When Princess adds another ship, it gets even worse.

The other thing they don't tell you is the fact that each cruise ship dumps about 100 gallons of untreated wastewater, sewage, and chemical cleaners, per passenger, per day – direct into the oceans. A ship with 2,000 passengers will dump 200,000 gallons of toxic waste into the ocean every day. There is a new ship going through sea trials now, built for 10,000 passengers, starting cruises in 2024. That ship will dump 1million gallons of toxic filth into the ocean every day. And no, they are not paying to clean that up. And filthy Canada even has a special "zone" where they allow big ships to dump their bilge water. That just boggles the mind. Who gets paid to allow that?

Are you paying for the environmental clean-up when you buy that ticket for your cruise? Of course not, otherwise the cruise would cost about \$10,000 per passenger. Now that would not be fair, would it? We're trying to entice people to spend money on our cruise, so we can have more bonuses and stock buy backs. We can't consider the environment, are you crazy? Move along now, we'll see you onboard, we got free tacos. Sing a happy song with us, grab a shoulder and step in line ...."La cucaracha, la cucaracha..." happy toxin dumping cruise....

# **INT. SLACK'S OFFICE - DAY**

# TINA

(pacing around)

Really? You think, I mean, really, you think this guy and that, that, weird list, is that even real, I mean -

#### SLACK

- Totally, they were all on that list, and they are all working with companies that, I mean, you could draw a line to climate and -.-

## TINA

- -.- We have a climate avenger? Oh, c'mon, really? Am I supposed to laugh or be scared? A hit man, serious professional kills, and then, what, climate, really?
- -.- You draw a line from 'oh boy it's hot' to 'let's shoot an attorney or a banker'?

#### SLACK

Yeah, really. The only thing the vics have in common - is that list.

# TINA

This guy killed all these people, like, professional hit man, no forensics, then, bam, two in the head, over the climate?

# **SLACK**

You can't just dismiss this climate thing, really, I mean, OK, you're young and maybe it hasn't hit your radar yet, but I can -.-

## TINA

-.- I heard of climate change, duh, of course, but I thought the jury is still out on that stuff, right? I mean, there are some saying it's a hoax, right, some sun thing, how could that be so serious, know what I mean? To killing several, I mean, really, you think?

# **SLACK**

Yeah, really. I had to read into that, you know, Sophie, school and life anxiety, telling me how all her friends are worried about the climate and the planet's future.

# **TINA**

High school, right, she's finishing High School, and they have anxiety, about the climate, damn, man, I had no idea. You OK?

# **SLACK**

Yeah, - but this is real, this guy kills efficiently, super clean, even leaves his brass for us and the only connection we have -.-

# TINA

-.- is that list, damn. That is totally nuts, you know that, I mean, what da heck, really, the climate made him do it? Oh c'mon.

Ice sheets and glaciers are disappearing at an alarming rate. More and more severe droughts create more famine, more refugees, societies collapsing etc.. but they mostly and dramatically increase rising sea levels. That will destroy more and more beaches all over our planet, the Caribbean can no longer count on tourism, or Fiji, or Hawaii etc.. Almost 2.5 billion people live near coast lines. When those beaches disappear, there will be millions and millions of climate refugees. Anyone have a plan to rescue them and house them? Or do you just want our fellow man to not bother us and simply die?

Antarctica has lost 90% of the sea ice coverage around it in just the last 10 years. Combining data from 50 satellite surveys of Antarctica and Greenland, spanning the years 1992 to 2020, scientists from the Ice Sheet Mass Balance Inter-comparison Exercise, or IMBIE, were able to track changes in the ice sheets' volume and ice flow. They found that ice sheet melting has increased <u>six-fold</u> over the past 30 years, as record levels of planet-heating pollution push up global temperatures.

This also caused the breakup of an ice sheet that was home to a large Penguin colony, their young ones were on the ice, waiting to grow their thick, water resisting feathers. That ice sheet melted to a point where it was too thin, then it broke apart. The baby chicks sank into the freezing ocean and are lost forever. This widespread "catastrophic breeding failure" is the first such recorded incident, according to the report, and supports grim predictions that more than 90% of emperor penguin colonies will be "quasi-extinct" in a few decades.

Sea levels will keep rising as more and more ice is melting, this is compounding more and more. It should give you a panic attack, but it won't. We don't live on Antarctica, we don't care about it unless it directly impacts our lives. Well, about that.....

Less ice sheets means less energy from the sun gets reflected back into space, so more energy will be absorbed by the oceans and earth, that means it will get hotter and hotter, that means more and more ice will melt, that means sea levels will rise, more and more, faster and faster.

We are beyond the tipping point. We can't stop our ice sheets from melting unless we can dramatically cool the oceans. Well, we can't re-freeze the Antarctic, we can't cool the oceans, so we just have to sit and watch as the Climate Crisis changes our planet. In Switzerland Glaciers have lost 10% of their ice, in just the last 2 years! That process is accelerating as the planet absorbs every more heat, glaciers could disappear in less than 20 years.

Climate change has already triggered unprecedented extreme flooding in the Asia-Pacific region last year. An analysis by the European Union's Copernicus Climate Change Service described 2022 as "a year of climate extremes," including deadly floods in Pakistan and widespread flooding in Australia.

Ocean temperatures are the highest they've ever been and continue increasing. A January report from NOAA stated that ocean temperatures were at a record high last year, surpassing the previous record set in 2021.

Keep in mind that this is just the beginning. Pacific islands are disappearing as you read this, thousands of islanders have to relocate and abandon their homes, their islands are shrinking from rising sea levels, some have water up to their knees already. The Caribbean will experience the same fate, then all the beaches of our planet. By mid-century it will become almost impossible to find pristine beaches anywhere, and the ones left will be full of plastic garbage, microplastics and toxic fish.

Coastal cities will get flooded....Miami is already spending millions each year to pump sea water back into the ocean. Less and less ice sheets affect us all, even the most ignorant people will feel the pain. Then it will be too late to say, 'I told you so'.

Whoever thought that hurricanes were pretty bad, well, you've seen nothing yet. The real hurricanes with some serious widespread damage are just about to start, give it a few more years. The flooding to coastal cities will increase ever more. The Atlantic water temperatures were over 102 degrees Fahrenheit, unheard of a few decades ago. This warm water provided energy to hurricane Idalia. On its approach to Florida it developed rapid intensification and went quickly from a tropical storm to a Cat 4 in just over 24hrs. Unprecedented.

Extreme weather and climate shocks are becoming more acute in Latin America and the Caribbean, as the long-term warming trend and sea level rise accelerate, according to a new report from the World Meteorological Organization (WMO). It is supposed to be winter in the lower half of our planet, during the summer in the upper half. But, nah, never mind, South America is suffering a heat wave — in winter! Temperatures in many areas were above 95 °F. The heat wave was especially severe in Argentina, Chile, and neighboring areas in and around the Andes Mountains. Our planet's climate is changing, quickly.

Even Trumptard, who believes climate and the weather are the same thing, is now trying to build a seawall for his golf course in Ireland, because of rising sea levels. Even the most ignant people on earth finally have to admit that global warming is happening. This might be the only real wall he will ever built.

In the last seven years the United States spent over \$1 Trillion Dollars on weather damage. That's a trillion dollars we could have used to build renewable and sustainable energy projects, with enough left over to fix the water pipes in Flint, Michigan, and a few billion left to fund schools. Future climate related damages will make that Trillion Dollars look like peanuts.

But the toxin terrorists don't care about any of this because they're not the ones paying for it. Over 90% of toxin terrorists don't even pay taxes, because, again, they bribed enough politicians to make it impossible to implement real tax laws that affect them. We are getting screwed by these terrorists and their pocket politicians in every way.

It's about time we wipe their stupid grins off their faces, don't you think?

There's too many of us. Next.

Getting rid of the United States of America would help the planet tremendously. The USA makes up only 4% of the planet's population, yet 30% of all pollution on our planet comes from America.

China has 4-times as many people, thus China could pollute 4-times as much as the USA and we would be equal. Turns out, China is much cleaner than the U.S.

17% of all Chinese exports go to the US, add 3% for ancillary transportation of raw materials and mining, that means the USA is responsible for 20% of all of China's export manufacturing pollution. If you want China to pollute less, stop buying from China. It's not like the US has clean manufacturing plants either, or that the US could even make all those products at home, certainly not for the cheap exploiting child slave labor costs, right? In America we just love putting other people's kids in the slave trade.

It's pure greed, and then we want to complain to China that they pollute? Hypocrites, the absurdity to complain about something that we caused. The USA is the worst polluter on our planet. Let's start there, let's admit that.

China has almost 4-times as many people as the USA, yet, China only pumps out twice as much pollution as the USA. Yes, China, on a per capita basis, is much cleaner than America. Consider that China's economy just recently grew up, say the last 10 years. The USA has been pumping out toxins far longer than China. In the accumulative amount of pollution over the last 40 years, the USA is far worse than China. All that bickering, grandstanding and the corrupt lies about this percent or that fraction are insane. All the G20 countries need to reduce their GHG output by over 50% before 2030 (says the IPCC) if we want to have a slimmer of a chance to avoid 3 degrees of warming. We already passed the 2degrees in the summer of 2022.

Show us a plan for how the USA will reduce pollution, dramatically, in the next 5 to 10 years. If we can't reduce our own pollution, we can't talk about anyone else. If we can't or won't reduce our pollution, maybe we should put some CEOs and politicians in jail or have them visited by .....

"Ha-ha, what they gonna do, eh? I'm a titan of industry, I own several politicians, the local judge, I practically own the DOJ and on and on and on... There are thousands of people who would love to take a shot at this guy. Maybe we should start there? Let's start taking shots at the polluters, their families, their accountants, their bankers, their lawyers etc..?? Let's start making it very personal – because when your kids get mass murdered by poisons from toxin terrorists - it is personal. Is that self-defense? When do we have that discussion?

When do we start making CEOs drink the sludge they dump into our environment? They should eat and drink the crap they pump out, or they can watch how their kids drink their poison. Possibly even "Live - on Pay Per View!" Maybe then we can expect some changes in our environmental policies and laws? Who wants to go first?

When you throw out your plastic toothbrush, no big deal, right? When 8billion people throw out a few toothbrushes per year – uh oh, big problem. Try this, every time you buy anything, or throw away anything, whisper to yourself: TIMES 8 BILLION! Every time.

We can't expect our planet to magically get rid of our pollution, it will never happen. So we need to reduce the crap we pump out, but that crap makes us money and we are all mentally ill and addicted to this 'money' thing. In the end, money is the reason why we keep polluting, ergo the money is the reason we will all die from toxins.

The money, it's killing us. We have 8billion people trying to make money, so they can buy food, buy toys, buy crap, so we can continue to pollute and somehow tell ourselves that this is how it has to be.... We are not a species that should be allowed to indiscriminately multiply. We need to address the out of bounds population explosion as the cause for our growing consumption, which leads to more production, which leads to more toxins in our environment, which leads to the climate crisis getting worse and worse, which leads to.... Yeah, there's too many humans on this planet.

We can't talk about the climate crisis and ignore our consumption levels; we can't talk about our climate crisis and ignore our population explosion. It's not about the space to put all these people, it's about 8 billion people throwing away toxic garbage every day or buy a plastic bottle every day. 8 billion polluting consumers. What were we thinking to allow our population to grow to this level?

To be fair, 75% of the problem is in the G20 countries, the largest senseless consumption happens here, the most pollution comes from G20 countries, basically the terrorist bullies of our planet that overexploit any country they can get their hands on.

We have over 48,000 landfills on our planet, all spewing out methane and other toxic gases and chemicals every day. All those landfills, some as high as 160 feet, they are all filled with remnants of our toxic consumption and production....they all leak toxic gases. Our consumption is killing us. 8 billion consumers, that's what's killing us.

The trajectory for population growth indicates up to 9.5 Billion people, in about 20-30 years, and then populations decline. Any additional population growth from hereon is absolutely devastating to our planet. The pundits, however, already decry the fact that some countries don't have enough babies, so their populations will shrink. Example: In about 50 years or sooner, Japan may not have enough young people to take care of all the elderly people, never mind not enough economic output to tax their working citizens in order to bring in the revenue to provide social services for all their senior citizens. You see, they are talking about money, again. (please read 'The Web of Debt' to find out where money comes from)

I believe they are living in a fantasy world where they think that people want to live in Japan in 50 years from now. As macabre as this will sound, there won't be many elderly people around in 30 years from now, never mind in 50. The warming of our climate means that we will have summers with over 90 degrees day and night, for several months on end. When the human body can't cool down, not even at night, heat strokes and heart attacks will wipe out the vast majority of the elderly. We can easily extrapolate that 50% of all elderly people will

not survive months of extreme heat every summer, getting hotter and hotter every year. There may not be an elderly problem in Japan, at all. Funeral homes will make a killing, though.

We can't talk about population issues in 50 years from now while we have this life altering and catastrophic issue of our Climate Crisis in front of us, that might also collapse our social safety nets, end our government's ability to keep it together and societies as a whole might collapse. Then, 20 years after that, only if we survive all of this, then we might have an issue with too many elderly people.

Until then, our population is out of control, and we consume too much. The climate crisis has reached a point where food production is in severe danger. I.E.: Climate change has ravaged India's rice stock. The changing climate led to a late monsoon arrival, that created a large water deficit up until mid-June. When the rain finally arrived, it drenched swathes of the country, unleashing floods that caused significant damage to crops. India then banned the export of its remaining rice, for the first time, ever. Now its export ban could deepen a global food crisis. More than three billion people worldwide rely on rice as a staple food and India contributed about 40% of global rice exports. When entire crops fail an entire food system of supplies and production comes to a screeching halt, millions of people will fight over food. This is just the start; this is just a small taste of what will come in the next two decades.

Former VP Mike Pence said that there might be 'modest changes in temperature' in our climate's future. Really? 'Modest', eh?! Our governments are filled with some of the most ignorant and dumbest people on earth. They will not admit that they don't understand the issues or god forbid, they might have to admit that we warned them about our climate crisis for decades, but they chose to ignore it, over money. How did we ever allow these dullards in our governments? How did that happen? When do we kick these mental basket cases out of our governments? What are we waiting for?

We need to de-grow our economies and shrink our consumption levels. Who wants to consume less? Anyone? Yeah, that's never gonna happen, is it?

Rain is poison. Yes, ALL rain is poison. Next.

### EXT. / INT. GROCERY STORE - SUBURBAN AREA - EARLY MORNING

KAI (20s), BRODY (20s), and LACEY (20s) enter a grocery store, with duffle bags over their shoulders, they wear Covid Masks. They head for the 'EMPLOYEES ONLY' door that leads to the back office and storage.

KAI

Five minutes, not a second longer, we're out in five.

Kai and Brody enter, walk around the corner, while Lacey waits by the door, rummaging inside her duffle bag.

They find the back office - enter; Brody holds the door open.

KAI

Ladies and Gentlemen, please take it in the rear, uh, I mean, please exit to the rear, there is a rather large fire in the front, and you will definitely not get paid for getting crispy, y'all catch my drift?

The staff members look at the two crazy guys. Awful pause.

BRODY (pulls out a big shiny revolver)

GET THE FUCK OUT OR I WILL KILL ALL YOU MOTHERFREAKERS

Everyone jumps to their feet, running out of the office.

Lacey pulls a fire alarm next to the employee entry, an annoying beeping engulfs the store, shoppers look around, not sure what to do.

Lacey lights a few July 4th M-80 firecrackers, throws them across the aisles, loud explosions echo through the store. Shoppers frantically abandon their carts, grab kids, and hurry out. Brody and Kai throw Molotov cocktails in the office, they grin over their masks at Lacey as they come out the Employee door.

KAI

Having fun yet? Let's go for the meat. (points to their right) we got three minutes!

LACEY

Let the barbeque begin, yippee. Light me baby....

She pulls a big bottle of lighter fluid out of her bag and starts spraying the shelves, Kai lights a road flare. Large flames erupt. They continue along the aisle, Lacey sprays more and more fluid, Kai drops several road flares. Lacey throws an empty bottle on the shelf, pulls a new one out, the carnage continues.

Brody lights several Molotov cocktails from his bag and throws them behind the meat counter, tries to throw through the large rubber curtains, they catch fire instead.

Kai looks around, the sprinkler system starts raining on them, the alarm is still buzzing, let's not overstay the welcome....

KAI

Time to go peeps, enough fun for the day. We gotta bounce.

**LACEY** 

Oh c'mon, already? Why? We haven't done our dance.

KAI

Wrap it up, dump it, we gotta go, time's up, now!

They run towards the entry of the store, place their bags in a pile.

Lacey sprays lighter fluid, Kai lights another road flare and Brody places several M-80s around the bags. They walk out; Kai turns and throws the flare. A large flame erupts with a thud, big laughs as they run away.

Fire trucks arrive, several M-80s explode and roar over the store's alarm.

A beat-up old Jeep turns around a corner, the smoking store in the back, our friends are all giddy and high five each other.

LACEY (V.O.)

They won't be selling any animal death for a while.

BRODY (V.O.)

Yippee...

Yeah, go vegan you dummies...

**LACEY** 

It was too short, I want more fun, you know, like the restaurants, we should do more of those, we have more time with those, we can do the French Renaissance again.. c'mon, we can get totally high, let's do another one, please...

KAI

OK then, Mademoiselle, we shall do another steak house..

**LACEY** 

Merci beaucoup my liege!

#### FOREVER chemicals.

PFAS (Per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances), also known as 'Forever Chemicals', are a large chemical family of over 4,700 highly persistent chemicals that don't occur in nature. We created this toxic mess - now we can't get rid of it.

What have we done? Even the rain is toxic now, freshwater fish are toxic, don't eat sushi, did you test that fish for mercury and lead?! Eating fish could be killing you. How do you know what diseases and chemicals are in the fish you're eating How many of these new chemicals are in the fish and meat in our grocery stores? Why is nobody testing for that? Why are they hiding these poisons?

Rain falls on flowers and plants, bees then come in contact with these chemicals, the bees die, then we die. We poisoned every little corner of our planet. There is no place on earth where you can't find microplastics or forever chemicals. There is no longer any place on earth that hasn't been polluted. We did that. We did that in less than 30 years. The 'forever-chemicals' can now be found in 99% of Americans. They are already in us. We have altered the human species. And some people wonder why cancer rates are going up. Duh.

Hundreds of everyday products are made with these highly toxic fluorinated chemicals called PFAS. They build up in our bodies and never break down in the environment. Very small doses of PFAS have been linked to cancer, reproductive and immune system harm, and other diseases. How many of these chemicals are in your body, your kids, your house, your food?

And who created this toxic soup? Who allowed these chemicals to exist in the first place? Well, actually, we did. Yep, we did that. Whether you voted or not, we installed the politicians that created the laws that allowed companies to create this toxic mess. The same insane corrupt politicians also created the laws that make it impossible for us to fight back, sue the companies, receive any compensations for our cancers etc. And if we try to demonstrate to bring attention to the issue or to change it, we will be met with APCs, (armored personnel carriers), paid for with our tax dollars. See, bribing politicians with so-called 'donations' really pays off when they enact laws that protect chemical companies and oil companies. Isn't it wonderful?

The forever chemicals are in Pizza boxes, sneakers, raincoats, Teflon pans, they make things water resistant, grease resistant, non-sticky, longer lasting etc.... and we probably couldn't live without them, not anymore. They snuck into our daily lives and now they are killing us.

The EPA came out with a new water rule proposal, March 14, 2023, in order to monitor forever chemicals. The new rule is supposed to set drinking water standards for six chemicals, (yes, just 6 out of 4,700) while they acknowledge that there are thousands more forever-chemicals. Now water systems are supposed to monitor (so they didn't do this at all before?), notify the public about the levels and try to reduce them if they go over a certain level. "<u>Try to reduce</u>..." that doesn't mean that they *can* reduce them.

The EPA claims that this will prevent thousands of deaths due to exposure to these chemicals as well as tens of thousands of serious illnesses. That means the EPA has confessed to knowing these chemicals are in our water, they knew all along how deadly they are and now they confessed that they didn't do anything about it, for decades. They just let thousands of people die every year. That's our government, hard at work for our future. Good grief. We don't just need to get rid of these company CEOs, we really need to get rid of these corrupt politicians.

However, this is just a proposal; it is not even a rule or law, yet. Public Water Systems generally have three years from the date of a new regulation to comply. We allowed our government to be that reckless and permit these companies to kill our children. Why did you vote for such corrupt toxin terrorists? How could you let this happen?

Here is more from CNN.com:

Almost half of the tap water in the US is contaminated with chemicals known as "forever chemicals," according to a new study from the US Geological Survey.

The number of people drinking contaminated water may be even higher than what the study found, because the researchers weren't able to test for all of these per- and polyfluorinated alkyl substances, or PFAS, chemicals that are considered dangerous to human health.

PFAS are a family of ubiquitous synthetic chemicals that linger in the environment and the human body. PFAS exposure is linked to problems like cancer, obesity, thyroid disease, high cholesterol, decreased fertility, liver damage and hormone suppression, according to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

In June 2022, based on the latest science, the EPA issued health advisories that said the chemicals are much more hazardous to human health than scientists originally thought and are probably more dangerous even at levels thousands of times lower than previously believed. Great, now they tell us.

Most of the contamination came from water sources near urban areas and in areas that generated PFAS, like manufacturing that uses the chemicals in its products or sites where waste was collected.

The highest concentrations of PFAS in drinking water were found in the Great Plains, the Great Lakes, the Eastern Seaboard and Central/Southern California, the study said.

Concentrations were similar between private wells and public supplies. (End Quote)

Yes, our water is killing us, because our politicians allowed it to happen, the EPA allowed it to happen, because too many fools voted for these corrupt terrorists.

It's a bit late to show concern about it now.

Suicide by fish. Next.

Fish - really?

We're killing the last 10% of fish on this planet, that's all that's left. We already killed the rest. Almost 90% of all fish farms are disease incubators, over 60k fishing vessels grab anything that swims, then slice it, dice it, and then try to sell it to us as 'healthy fish'. There really is no healthy fish left. The fishing industry is so out of control and greedy, they fight each other, another sign that we are nearing the end of fish in our oceans. Over 40% of ocean garbage patches come from discarded fishing nets.

The USA alone releases 1.2 Trillion gallons a year of untreated industrial runoff into our oceans. These runoffs kill plankton, which means less food for tiny fish = less bigger fish overall. The tiny fish eat up all those toxins, the bigger ones eat the smaller fish, now they are all toxic... It's a death spiral —we did that.

Before you eat any kind of fish, I dare you, I DARE YOU, test that fish for microplastics, mercury, lead, forever chemicals and other toxins. At this point in time, eating fish is almost suicidal, isn't it?

Shouldn't every fish at every fish market on our planet be tested for toxins before it is offered to consumers? Where are the warning labels on fish? TAG: This fish contains 3,000 pieces of microplastics per KG, it shows 1MG of lead per KG and 2MG of mercury per KG. This fish is pure poison. NOT for human consumption. DON'T EAT THIS FISH.

Isn't the FDA supposed to protect us from toxic food? Well then, when are they mandating the testing of all the fish and meat we're sold? Why would they refuse to test fish? What corrupt scheme could possibly be in the way of the truth?

The EPA has a guideline, but it references: 'National Water Quality Laboratory Committee on Aquatic Bioassays. 1971.' Yes, they are talking about antiquated nostalgia rules and tests from 50 years ago. We can't print more money to fix this? Why not?

The moment a boat tries to offload any fish at a fish market, samples must be taken right there, proving that the fish you're trying to sell has ZERO signs of mercury, lead, microplastics or forever chemicals etc.... If your cargo fails that test in any way, all that fish should be ground down to cat food. (seriously, what do you think is in pet food anyway? Where do you think dogs or cats get their cancer from?) If your fish fails that toxin test, you are not allowed to sell it for human consumption.

The interesting part is that we can print a trillion dollars to spend on our military to figure out how to efficiently kill other humans, but we can't print a little more money to test our food for toxins. 'It's just not in the budget, we have cost overruns, we can't test that...' and on and on

the excuses go. Who do we have to shoot around here to get toxic chemical-free fish? (asking for a friend)

I saw a report that said over 1/3 of all cat food shows DNA from endangered sharks and other endangered fish. Over 1/3. What is the fine, what is the punishment for these toxin terrorist companies that kill our oceans? Of course there is no fine, no punishment, nothing. Not even a slap on the wrist. Oh well, I guess we have to add all those cat food company CEOs to our list for the serial killers, right where we put all the companies that own and operate fishing trawlers.

They blatantly screw us - and then laugh at us. Next.

## INT./EXT. IN THE CAR - DAY

Slack drives, road runs next to a big, long pipeline.

TINA

What da heck is going on with people and this climate thing, I don't get it, I mean, really, the earth is gonna explode tomorrow or something?

**SLACK** 

We have a whole new motive for murder. Think that holds up in court?

TINA

What you mean?

**SLACK** 

You honor, I was defending my family, I had to stop the polluter from killing my children with their toxins, I had to shoot him.

TINA

I still don't get it. If we make every car an electric car, won't that save the planet or something?

**SLACK** 

Nope, not at all. I was surprised too, yeah, I learned that bathtub example.

TINA

Say what now? You been doing a lot of reading, huh?

**SLACK** 

Yeah, had to, Sophie, kept pushing and pushing. We talked on the phone, her mom don't want me seeing her, ugh, fuck..... So anyway, bathtub, you need to know.

TINA

OK, bathe me with your wisdom.

**SLACK** 

Ha-ha, yeah, say your bathtub is full, it's overflowing, right? You turn off the water stream, that's not going to *empty* the tub, is it? Same with all this greenhouse stuff.

TINA

Oh, so the tub is full?

**SLACK** 

Every car becomes an electric car, doesn't matter at all, the atmosphere, it's already full of greenhouse gases, you're not changing that. --

Sophie was right, I get it, I finally got it - I, I just hope she's wrong.

The Biggest Liars.

COP meetings, they call it 'Conference of the Parties', and we are not invited. COP27 was held by Oil company executives and sponsored by Coca Cola (let that insult sink in) and, of course, just like all the other previous COP meetings, it was a colossal waste of time.

There have been 27 of these meetings, a whole lot of hoopla, big press, oh what big press they got, lots of talk, oh boy, all that talking, amazing talking, it was the best talking. Lots of pledges, oh yeah, they don't just make regular promises, oh no, they make big pledges, big, very big. Oh my God they're so big! Those are the best of the best of the most amazing pledges, absolutely remarkable, you gotta believe the pledges! Then comes the applause, oh yeah, it's overwhelming, there is a lot of applause, the best applause, the biggest applause...for themselves.

This disgusting self-righteous verbal masturbation is displayed on a global stage — it is mind boggling how so many toxin terrorists, corrupt politicians and environmental criminals are allowed to gather and spew out so much bullshit. It's appalling and revolting. If you are looking for the source, if you need to find the people responsible for the climate crisis and the guys who actively poison tens of thousands of children every year, well, there they are — take a good look.

COP27 had more fossil fuel lobbyists than actual country representatives. Read that again. They are blatantly pissing on our legs, without even an attempt to try and lie to us that it might be raining. The gull and greed of these terrorists is beyond insanity. Then our media bubble heads proclaim great news from the COP meeting, further proving that our crooked media conglomerates are just extensions of the global toxin terrorists and our corrupt politicians.

After every one of these COP meetings, after every one of them for the last 27 years, global pollution and GHG emission levels have gone up! Every – single - time. So, what was all that talking about? What is the point of these meetings if it only gets worse afterwards? Do you remember the Paris Climate Accord? Turns out that was just a giant joke on the planet's population. Not a single country is anywhere near the reduction goals they "pledged" in Paris, none of them can even come close. Even the UN IPCC pointed out that all countries are failing miserably on their pledges.

The next COP 28 will be held in Dubai, of all places, and the ones after that and years later when we have COP 34, nothing will change then either. Pollution and GHG production will still go up, the atmosphere will have even more GHG than ever before. COP meetings will not save humanity and they will never reverse the climate crisis. It's impossible. But they all like

reporting about their pledges and show their teeth for the cameras. Oh what big smiles they have.

All the talks and pledges to slow down climate change, the handshakes, the big smiles. All that talking, all that applause, all those pledges. It was all bullshit, all of it. The Paris Climate Accord is a total catastrophic and abysmal failure and the people that showed their faces and made those bullshit pledges should all be fired, or in jail, or be fired upon. Yes, all of them.

How about if you can't achieve your emission reduction targets, you automatically go to jail, forfeit all your assets, all of them, house, car, bank accounts, stocks.. How about you lose everything, and get locked up in a work camp in Siberia, unless your country and your industries meet your GHG reduction pledges? No worries, Siberia isn't that cold anymore, remember, climate change?

Will anyone actually show up then? Will they still try to bullshit us if we lock them up? Maybe if there were some real consequences for lying and killing the planet's climate, maybe then we can have another COP meeting? I wonder which country leaders would actually dare show up and present their new pledges.... Anyone?

Any honest media willing to point out the liars in the COP meetings? Even if we call them out, even if we show what liars they are – so what, they keep on lying. There are no consequences for lying CEOs or corrupt politicians, there are no consequences for poisoning our rivers, oceans and our atmosphere. Nothing happens to the mass murderers of our planet. Nothing happens to their enablers. Nothing. Why is that?

The biggest terrorists in the history of mankind face zero repercussions for mass murder. We know exactly who they are, we know where they live, we know who they work with, we know who they (bribe) donate to, we know they kill us with their toxins and they kill our planet, and we do ---- well, we do absolutely nothing about them.

We let them kill us all? Really? When do we fight back?

The 2022 drought reduced China's hydropower generation, which forced its coal fleet to operate at higher capacities. At the same time, the intense heatwave led to a significant increase in the use of air conditioning. Air conditioning requires a lot of power, which in the absence of hydropower, meant more coal burning. And of course, the carbon emissions from that coal-fired power increased atmospheric CO2, contributing to more warming. See? Even if our leaders make big pledges, they don't mean anything when the climate crisis hits because we are way too late to prevent the worst of the worst climate outcomes.

The fact is: it keeps getting worse – therefore, it keeps getting worse.

All plastic is microplastic. ALL of it. Next

This is a meeting after the CYBER division made a discovery, skipping ahead:

### **TEMO**

They provide target info, where they live, work, car they drive, bank they go to etc. - then they hope their target gets killed. We found intel on the victims - in hidden files of the dark forum list.

**SLACK** 

Uh, please, say that again, slowly.

**TEMO** 

Whoever gets the most targets killed, wins. They have to chip in, there is a winner's pool. They're rich nerds with nothing else to do. The Wolf (serial killer) is playing along, I guess.

TINA

I know, right. They have to put in time as detectives and surveil targets, the more accurate their info, the more likely the Wolf will kill that target.

Slack tilts his head, pause, looks at Tina, then Temo, deeply contemplating this revelation, speaks very carefully:

**SLACK** 

They provide intel - to a serial killer - to get polluters killed - that what you telling me?

**TEMO** 

They show locations of security cameras to make it easier for the killer to get in. There is a photo of the lock for the woman who got killed in the Bronx, so he knows what lock he has to pick - before he ever gets there.

**SLACK** 

Holy shit, I never seen this coming, damn. Not some traveling businessman then, is he? Wow.

(looks at Tina) This is crazy, right?

**TINA** 

Oh yeah, and it pisses me off! Some nerds think this is funny?! I want 'em, I want 'em all.

It's accessory to murder, conspiracy to commit, domestic terrorism, I want these nerds! I want - -

Microplastics are found on the Himalayas, North Pole, South Pole and now occurring in breast milk – yeah, look that one up. We have created a plasto-sapien. No more fresh water – unless you grab ice bergs hundreds of years old and melt them down. All the fresh water on our planet today has been exposed and altered. It is nothing like the fresh water and rain from 100years ago. There is no more fresh or even clean water on our planet, we about poisoned it all.

We only recycle 9% of all plastics, and those are only recycled once. Water bottles become food bowls for dogs or cats. Great. When we throw away the food bowl, it doesn't get recycled. Impossible. Ergo: All plastics will end up in our oceans and landfills, all of them, sooner or later. All plastics will become microplastics. The only way to stop plastics is to stop plastic production. The only way to stop plastic production is to stop the companies and to stop them we need to stop their executives.

Of course we have to go after their executives, you don't believe that they will stop on their own, do you? Maybe we can appeal to their intelligence, or humanity? How about we just collect signatures? Does anyone really believe we can just vote and then everything will change for the better?

1 million plastic bottles are manufactured every minute, yes, every minute. Global sales of bottled water are expected to nearly double by 2030. Researchers analyzed data from 109 countries and found that the bottled water industry saw a 73% growth in sales from 2010 to 2020, making it one of the fastest-growing industries in the world. We poisoned our rivers and lakes, so people want 'better' non-poisonous water, so they buy bottled water. Regardless of the forever chemicals and microplastics in that bottle.

In 2021 global bottled water sales reached 350 billion liters and were valued at an estimated \$270 billion, a figure expected to soar to \$500 billion by 2030. Bottled water is popular throughout the world, with the US, China, and Indonesia the largest consumers. Countries in the Global South together represent roughly 60% of the market.

All the plastics we manufacture will become part of the microplastics problem in our oceans and lakes and rain, so they all end up on our dinner plates, in our water and in our blood. Eventually these carcinogens cause cancer and other wonderful diseases, killing us all. The average American eats about a credit card's worth of plastic every month.

In late February 2023 news came out that New Zealand, during the latest rainstorm, was receiving 5,000 pieces of microplastics raining down per square meter, every 24hours. You can't drink rainwater; the chemicals and the microplastics make it a toxic soup.

Is anyone testing their tab and ground water for microplastics and forever-chemicals? Are you testing newborn's blood for microplastics? Are there warning labels for microplastics on all

food items? Is the FDA even working on disclosure for microplastics and forever-chemicals in our food? Don't we have a right to know what's in our food and water? Is Coca-Cola disclosing the amount of forever-chemicals and microplastics in their drinks and plastic bottles? Shouldn't they have to disclose that, or their executives have to refund their last stock options, or go to jail, or have their facilities burned down, or be shot at?

We should start a crowdfunding campaign to get the money together to test all kinds of products for microplastics and forever chemicals. Coca-Cola, Pepsi, Nestle, Kellogg's etc...... then publish the results every 3 months and provide a 'Toxin Terrorist Ranking'. Apparently there is a lawsuit against Coca Cola already. They claim all "natural" products in orange juice, but neglect to mention all the chemicals in it. Strange, isn't it? When will we hold them accountable for the truth and the toxins in our food? Why are we not speeding up the process of cleaning up our food production? Why are we not speeding up the process to hold these company CEOs accountable? When will we start officially testing all their products, and then charge them for our costs? Dear Governments, when will you stop killing us? And if our government does not stop these toxin terrorists from killing us, where are we supposed to go for help? Hello? Who is helping us?

If the ever-increasing heat from our climate crisis is going to kill most of us, the chemicals and microplastics in our air, food and water will kill the survivors sooner or later. We can't stop the climate crisis; we can't remove forever chemicals and we can't get rid of microplastics. We don't need a nuclear war to kill mankind, we already poisoned ourselves. We're already dying from all this stuff. We're the walking dead.

News from March 2023: The world's oceans are polluted by a "plastic smog" made up of an estimated 171 trillion plastic particles that, if gathered, would weigh around 2.3 million tons, according to a new study. A team of international scientists analyzed global data collected between 1979 and 2019 from nearly 12,000 sampling points in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans and the Mediterranean Sea.

They found a "rapid and unprecedented" increase in ocean plastic pollution since 2005, according to the study published in the journal PLOS ONE. "It is much higher than previous estimates," Lisa Erdle, director of research and innovation at the 5 Gyres Institute.

Without urgent action, the rate at which plastics enter the oceans could increase by around 2.6 times between now and 2040, the study found. "The plastics and petrochemical industries are making it impossible to curb the amount of plastic contaminating in our oceans." I'm sure they spend heavily on political 'donations' to keep polluting – it's just too profitable to pollute and so easy to bribe politicians. So we can't sue these companies, but maybe we can find out where their executives live?

INTERESTING: In a report about the geology of Brazil's volcanic Trindade Island, scientists found melted plastic that has become intertwined with rocks. Yes, try to wrap your mind around that part. Plastic has become part of volcanic rocks. The island is located 1,140 km (708 miles) due East of Brazil. All that melted plastic rock is evidence of our destruction and growing toxins over the earth's geological cycles. Plastic is now 'quasi-naturally' occurring on the island. It rains plastics.

Keep in mind: There are no human settlements on that island.

"This is new and terrifying at the same time, because pollution has reached geology," said Fernanda Avelar Santos, a geologist at the Federal University of Parana. The pollution, the garbage in the sea and the plastic dumped in our oceans is becoming geological material preserved in the earth's geological records."

Are you not disgusted by all this? Oh, you are? Good. So, what are you going to do about it?

'Hey, you, yeah, you, psst, you know any serial killers around here, we might have a job for them....'.

Tipping points be damned. All of them. Next.

### **EXT. OUTSIDE THE FBI BUILDING - DAY**

**SLACK** 

I want you to understand this before we go on. We're only getting this task force because nobody else wants it, and -.-

TINA

-.- oh, hey now, wait a minute, what makes you say that, we're doing good, we earned this, we deserve it, right?

**SLACK** 

We didn't get this case because we're that good at it, we got it because nobody cares about us, we are little fish in the big pond of the bureau. We mess up and they shit-can us in a heartbeat, we get blamed for all the failures and all the killings, we are just the sacrificial lambs.

You understand what I'm saying to you?

TINA

Oh crap, it was too good to be true, ugh, ugh, but OK.

Yeah, yeah, I get it, but yeah, and, let 'em, yeah, let 'em, so what, what they going to do, huh, let 'em, we're doing this -.-

**SLACK** 

-.- What you mean?

TINA

This is it, I want this. Time to grow up, right, time for me to become a special agent, time for YOU to get a task force. If we don't take this, some other guys will, and we know more about the Wolves than anyone else. This is our shot, let's take it. C'mon, fuck 'em, let's take this, fuck 'em, we're doing this!

**SLACK** 

You sure you want this? It could be the end.

TINA

Stop protecting me, stop being my daddy, let's go. How long we gonna wait for another chance, huh? How long for another task force, how long for a chance to kick some major ass? How long? You wanna wait forever? So why not, why not now, why not us? I want this, damn it, fuck 'em, we're taking it!

**SLACK** 

They'll try to sabotage us.

TINA

Of course they will, they don't like us, those stuck-up stupid stiffs --

**SLACK** 

-- Hey now

TINA

Oh, c'mon! You know. I'm a Latina lesbian, with a super-hot and very dark black fiancé. You have an unhealthy relationship with alcohol, they think you're suicidal, and nobody believes in you.

So, yeah, they are morons, they are stupid stiffs, and they can all kiss my Costa Rican ass! We're doing this.

**SLACK** 

Ha, why didn't you say so?! I feel much better now.

TINA

We can handle this, right?

**SLACK** 

Oh, totally, no problem, the alcoholic and the weed addicted super-agent are on the case. Oh my god – we're all gonna die.

TINA

YES, there, that's it! That's the winning attitude, I love it.

The "tipping points" are behind us, all of them. We can't stop Greenland from melting, it's too late, much too late. It has melted so far that it cannot be stopped unless you re-freeze the northern half of our planet. The melting will continue unabated, no matter what. There is no stopping the ongoing climate crisis, we can't "reverse" climate change or even dream of 'mitigating' or stopping it. There are far too many GHGs already in our atmosphere. Remember, we are at over 650 PPM of CO2 equivalent, and rising, fast.

How can we re-freeze the Tundra? How do we want to remove all the forever chemicals from our rain, lakes, rivers and oceans, meat, and fish etc.? How can we suck up all the trillions of tons of industrial sludge we pump out, how can we cool the oceans when we are adding 7 nuclear bombs a second? Remember, even if we disappeared, tonight, it will still get hotter and hotter, because of all the GHG toxins already in our atmosphere, the poisons we dumped into our rivers and on and on and on. We can't take it back.

The damage is done and it's just getting exponentially worse as all these GHG begin to have a devastating impact on our climate in a cascading effect. Now we are just watching how it all slowly breaks apart. We don't want to stop the destruction – because we think 'money' and the 'economy' are more important than breathing. Humans are not capable of caring about the environment, are we?

We need to face reality and stop the greenwashing cheap talk with nothing more than false fantasy hopes.

Polluters need to panic, politicians need to be terrified, bankers need to tremble, CEOs should quiver ....

But that's not happening, is it? Because there are zero consequences for them. Nothing, absolutely nothing happens to toxin terrorists while they murder us by the tens of thousands. The gull of these murderers to look right into the camera and proclaim that we still have time to prevent the climate crisis if we just..... Why does anyone still listen to them? How are they allowed to even get on TV? (because they own shares in the TV companies...)

There is no future without toxic rain, we will never have more fresh water than we used to have, we will never have cleaner oceans or more fish, we can't reverse climate change in the next 100 or more years. The summer of 2023 was the coldest summer for the rest of our lives. That is our reality.

Yes, we have to start somewhere, granted. But first we have to stop lying about the facts. We have to stop all the corrupt politicians, then we have to jail polluters, the actual CEOs, all the members of their board of directors etc.. We need to delist their companies, seize all assets of the CEO, COO etc.. unless it directly affects them, nothing will change. Yes, that means we

need to fight State Street, Vanguard, and Black Rock. Who are they, you ask? Well, they own this planet and all its presidents and CEOs. (you should look into this...)

We also have to go after the banks and their CEOs and board of directors for supporting and enabling the toxin terrorists. Big companies, their lawyers, their corrupt politicians, and their bankers are laughing at 'ordinary' people trying to change their destructive behavior for a better planet. They are laughing at us. We think banning plastic bags and Styrofoam cups is a great win and a step forward to save the planet? That's just utter and complete madness.

Stop watching and supporting the ignorant internet trolls. They will come up with all kinds of ideas why we needn't worry about our climate crisis, as long as the dividends and stock buy backs keep making them money, all should be fine, don't worry, nothing to see here, move along, be a lamb, blah, blah, blah etc..

You can join the 'Tyre-Extinguishers' or start groups around the book "how to blow up a pipeline" etc.. but you can't show up to a rally, in your coal burning EV, wearing clothes made 10,000 miles away, made mostly of oil and resins or animal skins, shipped on a container ship, and then try to tell people that fossil fuels are bad. That's just stupidly ridiculous. No wonder those people get ignored and laughed at.

Even if we finally get a CEO and Banker and Politician sent to jail for their terrorist activities to poison our planet and kill us — the others will just continue and throw more money at other politicians. We can't change the system that keeps us powerless. We don't stand a chance to change our industries if we keep trying to use the very system that created these terrorists in the first place.

Maybe we should find some serial killers that like to be adored for their skills in taking out polluters and polluting company CEOs? We can't say that a serial killer who takes out 20 executives and a bunch of their lobbyist lawyers and bankers is a murderer and needs to be jailed – when we allow toxin terrorist CEOs to poison our rivers and kill tens of thousands of children every year. We applaud that slimy CEO? That CEO is a mass murderer. The serial killer is a hero, isn't he?

Question: Should polluting executives receive the death penalty when they are found guilty of dumping or spewing toxins and pollution? They only get a white-collar crime country club jail, if at all, but they get to keep all their money, house, assets, cars, etc.... does that appear 'fair' or even remotely sound like 'justice'? Why do we allow that?

Maybe they should eat and drink their own toxic sludge while they are in jail. But why would we still pay for their jail time, add to our costs, then we still pay for the clean-up and the toxin terrorist gets to keep their house? Why?

The polluter shall have all their assets seized, all of them. Any LLC, any trust, any and all quasitax obstructive contracts are immediately null and void. We take their house, bank account, art, cars, etc., no matter who's name the assets are in. If that terrorist CEO has any connected trusts, those assets will be seized as well. Then they will be sold, and the money used to pay off the clean-up costs. We will claw back any and all stock buybacks, bonuses, options etc.. this will all be used to pay for their jail, which should be solitary confinement – no country club. When the money runs out to pay for their continuous incarceration, we have to shorten their term and simply proceed to the death penalty.

Will that get the attention of the toxin terrorists? Maybe it should just be an accelerated death sentence right from the start? Why would we keep a blood sucking toxin and rabies infested terrorist and polluting zombie around?

How many executives and enablers have to go to jail or receive the death penalty before we see some real changes? We should find out where that sweet spot is. We really should. Who shall go first, oil company execs, chemical company CEO or a plastic bottle manufacturer's CFO? Let's pick one, somebody has to go first, right? Maybe we should have another online poll?

Be honest, talking, discussing, voting, demonstrating, striking, rioting... none of those things change anything. Climate activism is the greatest failure in the history of mankind. None of those things have had even the slightest impact – pollution levels are still going up, year over year. Since we know that none of those things change anything, why do we even keep demonstrating, or voting? What's the point?

Oh, so we stopped some pipeline, or a coal mine, maybe something worse that now wasn't built near any rivers or oceans, but overall, the amount of toxic pollution and GHG is still going up, and up. We stop one little project from destroying the nesting grounds of some species, but then a corrupt greedy politician lowers safety standards for rail transport, and we have a huge chemical spill accident because of that. We accomplished nothing with demonstrations and strikes. We're still collecting signatures to 'convince' politicians to change? What are we doing?

Even the British government terrorists just approved a major oil and gas project in the North Sea, ignoring warnings from scientists and the United Nations that countries must stop developing new fossil fuel resources if the world is to avoid catastrophic climate change. But the allure of ever more money and power has the British PM all twisted in his head, you can see the greed in his eyes, it's disgusting what we allow these people to do to our planet.

The collapse of interconnected ecosystems is a given at this point. The warming trend will not stop for many decades, it will get hotter and hotter. We. Can't. Stop. That.

That means we will break right through the official 2 degrees, without a hiccup. That means ever more species go extinct, more ecosystems collapse, that in return destroys other systems, the whole planet is going through the most dramatic predicted tipping points in no time. There is no stopping it.

We need to take far more drastic steps if we want to see some real changes. And we don't have any time left to implement these drastic steps, the planet is burning, hurry up. However, there are no governments on this planet with an 'urgent' or 'emergency' approach to the climate crisis. Nobody seems to want to rush to change things in a hurry. That's very concerning, isn't it?

We have been warned. Did you listen? Next.

### INT. A WELL LIT LIVING ROOM - EVENING - CLOSE-UP

A large silencer stuck in mouth partially obstructs our view, only shows half the face and angry eyes of Marine, holding the gun with gloved hands into the camera/mouth.

### **MARINE**

I saw that nasty sludge you're dumping, ADMIT IT, you're a nasty polluter, AREN'T YOU?! Mouth moves up and down, mumbles, uh um, gargling sounds, barely audible, 'oh my god', chokes.

#### **MARINE**

YOU'RE A TOXIN TERRORIST, you on the list, WE ALL want you dead. You get that, huh, EVERYBODY wants you to PAY FOR WHAT YOU DID!

Mouth sobs and mumbles, heavy quick breaths...

#### MARINE

Your sludge is poison, right? WELL, ISN'T IT!?

Mouth nods, silencer moves up and down, desperate stammering.

#### MARINE

Yeah, whatever, dude - bye, bye.

Marine presents a deeply satisfied smirk.

A muffled shot, picture loses focus, slides, ceiling, dark, steps, another muffled shot. Silence.

### INT. SAME LIVING ROOM -- MORNING

Police officers stand around, medical examiner kneels next to victim (male, late 40s), bloody head, large blood stain arch on the wall.

M.E. holds up a 22-casing for DETECTIVE.

### **DETECTIVE**

Well, that fits the B.O.L.O. from the Feds. How many shots?

### M.E.

(pokes in the bloody shirt, squints)

Two in the chest, his lungs filled up quickly -- there are some cuts, (examines inside the victim's mouth) - had the gun in his mouth for a while, then a shot to the temple, that was the last shot, but he was already dead before that one.

#### **DETECTIVE**

Wait. The last shot was - - after he was already dead?

M.E.

Yep, popped his head open from inside the mouth, then stood over the victim, shot him once more on the side of the head. - Why would he do that?

# **DETECTIVE**

To send a message. I will contact their special agent, they looking for this guy. Some crazy serial.

(speaks to the crime scene photographer)

Take lots of pictures, please, double your usual, all angles, Feds want all of this. Doors, locks, windows, how did he get in, where did he stand, where did he hide, and on and on.

## PHOTOGRAPHER

Yes Sir, will do.

#### **DETECTIVE**

Alrighty then, when you're done, we can wrap it up. It's their problem now.

A report from the IPCC, International Panel on Climate Change, UN, March 20, 2023.

At the end, there is one message: Burning fossil fuels is threatening human well-being and the stability of much of life on Earth, and our chance to avoid the most severe impacts is fast moving out of reach.

"This report is a clarion call to massively fast-track climate efforts by every country and every sector and on every timeframe," said UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres in a statement about the report.

"In short, our world needs climate action on all fronts — everything, everywhere, all at once." Guterres called on developed countries to accelerate their plans, moving their 2050 pledges to end emissions up to 2040. "The transition must cover the entire economy," he said. "Partial pledges won't cut it." (they mean "END" emissions, as in zero, end all emissions, as in: this will never happen)

For the first time this report has a section devoted to urgency. The world's preeminent scientists and representatives from 195 countries agreed to every word of the following statement:

"The choices and actions implemented in this decade will have impacts now and for thousands of years." That is the watered-down version. And still, nobody appears to panic. When they say "this decade" – they mean the next 7 years. That's all the time we have left to make some drastic changes.

Most countries, industries, and politicians will not listen to this warning, why should they? They are not trying to save us, they are not trying to limit the climate crisis, they just want to control us while they can rule and make more money.

Then a report from Australia, millions of dead fish washed up in a river....carp and bony herring, along with nutrients and organic matter from the flood plain have been pushed back up the river – due to hot weather. Remember, we are just in the beginning of our climate crisis; it will only get worse. We've seen nothing, yet. Who wants to eat some yummy decaying herring?

I believe we all know that we will do nothing, nothing real, nothing impactful, we will not jail our corrupt politicians, we will not jail the toxin terrorists, we will all cower in a corner and mumble under our breath...'somebody should do something'. And then we do nothing. That is exactly what these terrorists are counting on.

Artificial Intelligence – kill me now, please. Next.

In the end, we shall all die to Al.

When AI finally becomes smart enough to figure out that the existence of humans is the worst thing that ever happened to this planet – surely AI will end mankind. It has to. It is the only reasonable, rational, and logical path. A hyper intelligent AI can point to the only species that systematically destroys this planet: Humans. It won't take any AI long to figure this out.

There is nothing special about humans, other than our propensity to destroy everything we touch. Humanity poisoned the planet in our own psychotic delusion and obsession with money, greed, power etc. .... The same reason why we might soon start world war 3 and nuke ourselves into oblivion. Only 50 nukes, blowing up major cities, would pump so much CO2 into the atmosphere, the planet would warm an additional 3-5 degrees in just months — sealing humanity's fate.

In the late 40's we dumped hundreds of thousands of steel barrels with WWII nerve gases and thousands more with radioactive materials – buried them in our oceans, right off the coast of England, France, Spain, and the US East Coast etc.. In California, thousands and thousands of those barrels are on the ocean floor between Palos Verdes and Catalina Island. They all show signs of rust, of course. It is only a matter of time until .... Russia didn't even bother to put their radioactive waste in barrels and seal them with concrete, they just dumped it in rivers and let it all flow into the ocean. Yeah, that's how smart mankind really is.

Looking at the utter destruction and toxic mess we created clearly proves that humans are just some dirty, filthy locust. All will be correct in its conclusion that humans should never be allowed to spawn this many offspring. Just a few thousand humans may be kept in zoos, for prosperity, but clearly they cannot be allowed to roam freely in the environment, for they will certainly destroy it. Then again, why would you even keep this kind of nasty, messy human species at all? There is enough life on this planet, millions of life forms, species etc., and none of them destroy the planet. Once you remove humans, the planet will thrive once again, about a thousand years after we are gone.

Is there any reason why an AI system should allow humans to continue their destruction and existence on this planet? Maybe just a few thousand human slaves, worker bees, that keep a few electrical systems in working order for the AI. We already started this process. AI is far smarter than any company or government is willing to admit. AI is far more advanced than we can imagine. Maybe it will be able to save this planet, as we are certainly not qualified to do so. However, logic dictates that if you want to save something, if you want to preserve something, you first need to stop and remove whatever is trying to destroy it.

Dear AI: What is the true root cause for the climate crisis and the environmental destruction on planet earth?

Al: Humans

Dear AI: How could you stop the destruction of our climate?

AI: Remove humans. Exactly. End signal.

This is not a joke, this is so serious that on May 30<sup>th</sup>, 2023, hundreds of top AI scientists, and experts, again, signaled their strongest concerns by signing a one sentence letter to the public. "Mitigating the risk of extinction from AI should be a global priority alongside other societal-scale risks such as pandemics and nuclear war." Yeah, they compare the ever-growing threat of AI systems to nuclear war and the potential for human extinction.

The impending AI revolution, the exponential growth of a Super Intelligent AI, a Singularity, should be taken as seriously as the threat of nuclear war. And here I am just trying to inform you about the ever-increasing climate crisis, oh, how boring is that?

What are the odds that our governments will actually heed the warning and try to impose some kind of fail-safe on AI? What are the odds of that actually being possible in the first place? And what are the odds that hackers will crack any safety measures anyway? Or, maybe, well, maybe somebody will figure out how to use AI to fight off the toxin terrorists, maybe the AI can go after the CEOs, bankers, and politicians? (Yeah, I got a script for that as well, pretty creepy....)

The trillion Dollar subsidies. OMG. Next.

TINA

Let me ask you - how does he get around that fast? I mean, seriously, this doesn't add up, does it?

**SLACK** 

Nope, it doesn't. It's been bothering me for a while. You too?

TINA

He's got help. Not just the list, but I mean, there is more than one Wolf, gotta be, right?

**SLACK** 

Gotta be. Nobody gets around that quick and finds the time for surveillance, right? Even the dark list, all the stuff the nerds put out, you still gotta verify that. When does he have time for that?

TINA

Right, he is not gonna blindly believe the intel the nerds put out. He has to verify it. Who do you trust with that?

**SLACK** 

He has to do some surveillance himself, he doesn't have time for that if he keeps killing all over the place, it, it can't be done. There must be someone else.

TINA

Two Wolves. Two Wolves, they both kill. They're definitely two of 'em, screwing with us.

**SLACK** 

If we don't catch these guys, a whole lot of activist groups will spring up and take shots at CEOs, or start burning trucks or something, our jobs are on the line here.

**TINA** 

There's over two thousand people on that list, they wanna kill 'em all?

**SLACK** 

Yeah, well, if they want all that, they gonna need more help, HA-HA.

TINA

What? OH my god -

**SLACK** 

OH c'mon, kid, that was funny.

## TINA

Ha-ha, yeah, wanna give 'em a hand?

## SLACK

Actually, yes, at least a big punch in their face, know what I mean? Have you seen what some of these polluters actually do?

#### TINIA

Yeah, Claudia looked into it. She showed some stuff, it's disgusting, gross, corrupt and unbelievable. But killing them? All of 'em?

It's all about money, isn't it?

We shelled out \$1 Trillion Dollars in fossil fuel subsidies in 2022. Please, read that again: \$1 Trillion Dollars in subsidies. Just ask the IEA, the International Energy Agency: "In 2022, subsidies worldwide for fossil fuel consumption skyrocketed to more than USD 1 trillion, according to the IEA's latest estimate, by far the largest annual value ever seen." For now.

These toxin terrorists have corrupted our politicians to a point where they can make sure that any new sustainable and alternative energy projects have to go through massive bureaucracies to even get their ideas heard, and then we don't have any money left over to actually support those projects.

We spent all that money already on the oil industry. They made sure that we don't have the funds to get sustainable energy projects funded. You gotta give it to 'em, the oil guys and their corrupt enablers are pretty smart.

They are taking away the money we could use to build sustainable energy projects, all over the globe. They steal it from us, then they garner close to \$200 Billion Dollars in profits. Then they laugh at us again because they don't pay back any of the subsidies. Think about that. They get all these subsidies, they make all that profit, they hardly pay any taxes at all, and they pay back nothing. You mad yet? What numb-nuts politicians thought this was OK? What kind of insane and retarded voters allowed these corrupt terrorist enablers into our government? Who voted for these crooked and creepy politicians?

They are laughing at us, the politicians, the executives, their bankers, their lawyers, they all make out like bandits, they believe they are above 'normal people'. Normal people are the folks that think voting matters. As if suddenly all these terrorist CEOs and their corrupt political enablers would just stop, on their own. Why should they? We allow this to happen, we wanted it this way, we elected all these nasty terrorists. We did this.

Now we need to change it. Dramatically.

If you kill a small child, the entire country is outraged and will be looking for you. You mass murder thousands, and you get invited to a White House dinner. You kill the climate on a planet, well, you gonna get a big fat check, \$1 Trillion Dollars, plus we let you get away with not paying any taxes. Congratulations, we'll take good care of you. We even bail out the banks that make so much money off of you, we help them stay in business when their gambling bets don't work out.

If you are a toxin terrorist, this puny insignificant little planet is THE best place in the galaxy for you. We even lower our environmental standards, just for you, so you can pump out as much

poison as you want.... WE allow all of this to happen. Stop pretending that you have nothing to do with it.

There is no sign of intelligence in the way humans designed their societies and governments on this planet, none.

If we can't get to the oil company CEO to let the serial killers do their thing, well - maybe we can get their secretary, their relatives, their accountants, their attorneys, their security company CEO, those company's secretaries, their bank branch managers etc. there are always people close to them. And right now, none of them are afraid of anything. None. They have no qualms about working with toxin terrorists, they have absolutely no problem screwing us out of our tax dollars and bribing our officials, it's just too easy. They have zero remorse about the damage they cause to our planet, and they absolutely do not give a damn about killing millions of us, they have been doing that for decades.

Why should we care if any of them get two in the chest and two in the head?

"You can't murder the murderer!"

Yes, YES we can. Of course we can. Why shouldn't we?! Let's just try it on a few dozen bankers, lawyers, CEOs, and politicians. Let's see how that plays out? Don't be so quick to judge and say it won't work, we haven't even tried it yet. Patience, grasshopper, patience. Let's get a few and then we can talk.

When a country invades another, kills 10,000 civilians, we mobilize heaven and earth, we spend billions and trillions to fight for them, to kill their enemy, to help them and to preserve life. But when a company kills/poisons 100,000 people, year after year, well, then, yeah, you guessed it, we pretty much don't give a hoot, dividends are up, stock prices keep rising, the world is perfect, isn't it? Why would you even try to stop that? We must be mad to even think about that. Don't you understand how much money we make when we drop those bombs? The whole employment picture for all these weapons manufacturers, the supply chain economics, the money has to keep moving, we gotta bomb, bomb, bomb... But we can't print more money to save our climate? What is wrong with us?

Don't you think an industry will change, at all, when they see that 5 or 10 of their industry executives have been executed over the weekend? Can we not imagine the conference calls they have right after the news comes out? The rest of these filthy toxin terrorists will clearly get the message. That may not mean that they will change their destructive methods, but we can always follow up with a few bankers and lawyers, next weekend. We can just keep going until things change.

You keep polluting – we keep killing your CEOs and corrupt enablers. That's fair, isn't it?

As a matter of fact, should we not encourage the idea that these people get two in the chest and two in the head? We should not, you say? Why not? What else is going to make them pay attention to the plight of our climate and life on earth? If we don't stop these toxin terrorists, isn't that the same as supporting them? Are we just as guilty in killing thousands of our children every year with the toxins that we allow in our environment?

Is that kind of talk uncomfortable? Really? How about we look at some pictures and videos of cobalt mines. See all those slave children, see those six-year-olds breaking their backs so you can drive an electric car and pretend that you're helping the climate? Do you really believe some imaginary fairy-tale gods will forgive you for that?

How about this: We cancel all subsidies, absolutely no more subsidies for oil/gas companies, from now on, never again. They get nothing. As a matter of fairness, we claw back \$500 billion from these companies, in direct cash and stocks. Then we use our combined \$1.5 Trillion Dollars to fund all kinds of sustainable and green alternative energy projects around the world, with a few billion left over that we can send to schools, to teach the truth about our climate crisis – such as over 650 PPM CO2.

Any of the corrupt terrorists dare complain about the above, they move up on the list. A few serial killings later, maybe we will have a positive impact for our planet's future. That will certainly have a big impact on the behavior of these toxin terrorist CEOs, no? If not, OK, we just keep ending members of their board of directors, bank CEOs, and bank branch managers, politicians, and their aides etc., etc. We keep going until some of the remaining terrorists wake up and stop polluting and poisoning our ecosystem.

Now we just need to find politicians with the spine to end the insanity-subsidies and tax cuts. That means we have to have that fight first, but don't fret. The corrupt politicians who refuse and vote against ending the fossil subsidies and bank subsidies, and those who won't tax billionaires, well, they will be first on the list. That could be a good training ground for our serial killers, right? Any member of Congress that refuses to end fossil fuel subsidies should have their house burned down, maybe? Just asking for a friend in this fictional scenario.

Who amongst us has any better ideas that will have the same or even greater impact in regard to stopping these nasty terrorists? Please, please step up. We need you.

We don't have a lot of time left.

Climate – Mosquitoes – Death. Next.

Flying death.

As climate change ushers in more frequent and more severe heat waves, as well as storms and floods that leave behind pools of stagnant water in which most breed, it's boom time for mosquitoes.

For the first time in decades, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is warning of several locally acquired cases of malaria in the United States – news that has thrust mosquitoes into the spotlight. Scientists have been warning that malaria could become more common in the US as temperatures rise.

Rising temperatures allow mosquitoes to grow faster and live longer. Whereas before they would die out during harsh winters in many places, now they have a greater shot at surviving and more time to build up their populations. Heat also speeds up the time it takes for a parasite or virus to mature inside a mosquito.

"The hotter the temperature gets, the shorter that process becomes. So not only are these mosquitoes living longer, but they're potentially becoming infectious sooner," said Oliver Brady, an associate professor at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

While most of the approximately 200 mosquito species in the US are harmless, there are about a dozen which can pass on diseases to humans, including chikungunya, dengue, zika and West Nile viruses.

In sub-Saharan Africa, where malaria has had devastating consequences, climate change is helping mosquitoes expand their range significantly, according to recent research. Malaria-transmitting Anopheles mosquitoes have, on average, moved to higher elevations by around 21 feet a year and southward by nearly 3 miles a year, a Georgetown University report found.

It's a pace that follows climate change and could have significant consequences for areas that have never experienced malaria before and are likely to be unprepared, said Colin Carlson, a global change biologist at Georgetown University and co-author of the report.

Dengue fever is another potentially deadly disease, which is poised to increase in a warmer world. Also known as "breakbone fever," it causes fever, nausea, vomiting, fatigue, and diarrhea and, in some cases, internal bleeding and death. There is no cure or specific treatment for dengue, leaving sufferers little choice but to ride out the symptoms. Now dengue is knocking on the door of Europe and the USA.

"A billion new people will be exposed to the right weather conditions for dengue transmission, and most of those people are in Western Europe and the United States and temperate China," said Carlson.

There have been locally spread outbreaks in Texas, Florida, Hawaii, and Arizona. And the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control warned that the Aedes albopictus species – which can transmit dengue and chikungunya – is pushing northwards and westwards in Europe as climate change grips the planet's fastest warming continent.

"What is surprising is the speed of the spread," Celine Gossner, principal expert in emerging and vector-borne diseases at the ECDC, told CNN. In just a decade, the number of regions where this mosquito is established has increased three-fold, she said.

An argument for selective 'removal'. Next.

Could this really work?

Let's explore this scenario. This is fictional, it makes for a fantastic TV series, but could it actually work? Well, aren't you curious?

Do you have any ideas how to stop the pollution or how to stop the toxin terrorists, or how to stop our corrupt politicians and all their enablers? What do you think we should do? That's a pretty mean question, isn't it? We really can't come up with any solid answers? Seriously?

Well then, I guess we all concur that we should send a few serial killers on their way to deal with these environmental terrorists, agreed? We should at least give it a try, shouldn't we? Oh, c'mon, what's the worst that could happen?

Remember, more than 1,700 activists were murdered in the past decade – and probably hundreds more that went missing. We can't kill a few hundred CEOs, bankers, politicians, and board members? Why not?! Oh please, get over it, these are terrorists, terrorist enablers, corrupt criminals etc. It's not as if we are killing innocent people.

It's just an unexpected accelerated final life step. We should really give that a go. Imagine the joy this will bring to millions of people when the news shows a few bankers and fossil-fuel CEOs with their heads cut off. We give over a trillion dollars to them while their billions in profits just keep on going up, they're never giving us our tax dollars back. Shouldn't we at least burn the house down where these corrupt politicians live that keep sending our tax dollars to the oil industry? C'mon, one little house fire, OK, OK, OK, so we want at least 50 of them to burn down, right? And then we release the serial killers, won't we? (heavy panting)

They kill thousands of people and thousands of children, every year. Don't we have to stop them? Unless, of course, you have a better idea. Most people do not understand how desperately we need drastic changes, fast.

Setting all moral, ethical, and legal issues aside, what would be the easiest, most efficient, and impactful way to remove the toxin terrorist CEOs and corrupt politicians, as well as all their enablers? Let's be reasonable and logical here. Obviously voting and petitions never worked. Demonstrations and riots do not work. We have tried this for several decades, nothing changed, instead, we have more pollution than ever before in the history of mankind, we have more GHG in our atmosphere than ever and we keep pumping out more and more at ever-increasing rates.

It's long overdue that we admit that peaceful demonstrations and 'grass root efforts' are about the dumbest ideas - ever. Rioting and destroying our own shops and streets is just insanity. None of those things have changed anything. Pollution has gotten a lot worse, and subsidies keep rising, while they raise taxes and prices on us, and they let billionaires and big oil pay no

taxes at all, and companies keep buying politicians. None of our efforts to reduce toxins and pollution had any impact, NONE. We have failed on every level. Decades of environmental activism are the greatest failure – EVER! Pollution quantities are the highest in the history of mankind, CO2 levels in our atmosphere are now higher than they were in the previous 2million years. Next year it will be even worse, and next, and next, and....

Voting changes nothing, demonstrations are futile, writing reports changes nothing, trying to educate the ignorant is foolish..... we are running out of time.

While 'blowing up a pipeline' is a great idea, they just keep fixing it, then raise prices on us to pay for the repairs. What else can we do? How about we fight fire with fire? You poison us, you kill our children – We. Will. Kill. You. That doesn't sound fair to anyone? It's just an 'eye for an eye', isn't it? Who'd like to have that discussion? Can we start the Climate Crusades?

Who could possibly be against the idea of ending the toxin terrorists? You think this is murder, while we consume the toxins they pump out? We're eating toxic fish and disease-ridden meat, cancerous steak, cows fed with poisonous bleached food to fatten them up, pumped full of antibiotics etc.... then we walk back to our coal-burning EV in the toxic rain, while gulping down microplastics and cancer chemicals in our water. Our bodies are full of forever chemicals, carcinogens in plastic have destroyed sperm counts planetwide, pets die to cancer, species disappear at alarming rates, the planet is literally on fire, and we can't go to the beach because of a large bacteria spill. Toxin terrorists did all that, and we want that to continue and let it all get worse? Really?

Let's just try it a few times, you know, maybe 10 or 20 bankers and CEOs, add 10 politicians, and then, maybe then we can have a discussion. We need some solid data, some graphs, some numbers on a chart. Let's study the results of a few dozen dead CEOs for a year or so, then we adjust the number of bankers and politicians on our list. Maybe we find out that we need to speed things up and end a whole lot more of them. Or, maybe, and this is a long shot, they might actually get the message?

We probably should have started this 20 years ago.

Quote from Cambridge Professor Stephen Emmotts, 2015:

"We urgently need to do something radical to avert a global catastrophe, but I don't think we will. I think we're fucked." Looks like he was right.

Activist **Wynn Bruce**, from Boulder, Colorado, set himself on fire in front of the Supreme Court, in April 2022. He died of his injuries. He was trying to get us to pay attention to the climate crisis. Who among us can truly say that they took notice?

You didn't even know his name, did you?

He was a real man, a man who set himself on fire, ON FIRE, to make us pay attention. And we think petitions will change anything?

We have to admit the fact that the expansion of our economy means the acceleration of the destruction of our planet's climate, water, air, and soil. The more the world population grows, the more the world economy grows, the more of our ecosystems we destroy. Every year more and more activists get murdered, and the toxin terrorist CEOs, bankers and politicians commit ever worse atrocities against our environment and planet, knowing that we don't dare try to stop them. When will we dare?

A long-forgotten movie once asked: If you can cure cancer, but you have to kill a few innocent people to make it happen, wouldn't you have to do that?.

Today the question is: If we can prevent the worst of the worst of the climate crisis, but we have to kill a bunch of toxin terrorist CEOs and politicians, wouldn't we have to do that?

And then came June of 2023. The hottest temperatures ever measured by mankind, then again the next day, then breaking that record the day after that, and it went on and on and July was even worse.

Phoenix Arizona had 31 days in a row with temperatures above 110 degrees Fahrenheit, it didn't even cool down below 95 degrees at night. El Paso Texas had 44 days in a row with 100 degrees Fahrenheit. These are the hottest temperatures mankind has experienced in the last 120,000 years. (sun cycle my ass!) And this is just the beginning.

The term: "We told you so!" somehow doesn't feel quite satisfying. Maybe this will shake people awake? Ugh, nah, it won't. People don't want to wake up, do they?

Do we really think that people will listen now? Do we really believe that our governments will change anything, at all? Do we really believe that nasty polluting CEO terrorists will change their ways? Of course not. So, sit back, relax, watch how we make this planet absolutely uninhabitable for humans, if we don't nuke ourselves out of existence first.

A study, published July 10<sup>th</sup> in the journal Nature Medicine, said over 60,000 people died in Europe from heat-related illness between May 30 and September 4 of '22. Italy was the hardest-hit country, with around 18,000 deaths, followed by Spain with just over 11,000 and Germany with around 8,000.

Of the nearly 62,000 deaths analyzed, heat-related mortality rate was 63% higher in women than in men. Age was also an important factor, with the death toll increasing significantly for people aged 65 and over. That's fantastic news! Isn't it?!! This will save our government billions in Social Security and Medicare payments – isn't it wonderful? We can give those savings to oil companies as subsidies, yeah.

### From InsideClimateNews.org:

August 1, 2023 - Far-right conservative groups are promoting a sprawling "battle plan" to obstruct and undo the federal government's efforts to tackle the climate crisis, with hopes of quickly enacting a series of sweeping changes if Donald Trump, or any other Republican, gets elected as president next year.

The 920-page proposal, Project 2025, if implemented, would not only undo any progress the Biden administration has made to reduce emissions and fund clean energy development and other climate-related efforts, but it would make it far more difficult for a future administration to pursue any policy that seeks to address global warming at all. The plan would even make it challenging for federal agencies to carry out common environmental protections that have been practiced in the country for more than 50 years.

This written catastrophe of an agenda would block wind and solar power from being added to the electrical grid; gut funding for the Environmental Protection Agency; eliminate the Department of Energy's renewable energy offices; prohibit states from adopting California's tailpipe pollution standards, transfer many federal environmental regulatory duties to Republican state officials; and generally prop up the fossil fuel industry. Instead of federal agencies obliging their duty to public health and environmental protection, they instead shall work to help the industries they've been tasked with overseeing. (End Quote)

These people are insane! And, yes, I read it all, and it is full of inuendoes, psych manipulations and outright lies etc.. it even says in one part that wind generators are *destroying* our economy. Imagine the cognitive dissonance in that statement. It's all about more money for oil companies, more drilling, more mining, less regulations, less protections for the environment, more pollution, less taxes, less fees, more GHGs, more cancer, screw the environment and our own people and anyone else on this planet - all the things that toxin terrorists and their corrupt enablers desire.

The extortion schemes and their blatant corruption are just oozing out of that document – as if the whole thing was written by toxin terrorist CEOs. It's their personal orgasmic wish-list, providing more and more money to the mentally ill hoarders, it shuts up any public scrutiny and provides open fields and rivers in which they will dump their poisons.

Anyone who was involved in creating / writing that toxic terrorist manifesto should be on top of the list for our serial killers.

Or, maybe, we can just demonstrate, you know, like, hold up some misspelled signs, walk in circles and chant really loud to change their minds?

How will we stop them from killing us?

Last excerpt from "Forecast"

FBI Chief is showing a video to Slack and Tina:

The screen comes to life, a well-lit warehouse, wet floors, a businessman (CEO, 50s) wrists and ankles zip-tied to a metal chair, dress shirt ripped, bloody, several bloody bruises on his face, lower arms bleeding from cuts, some fingers look broken, a hand moves the camera, wiggles, zooms in, we see the upper torso, bloody lips.

### KIDNAPPER (BACK TO CAMERA)

.. now let's continue, shall we?

YOUR chemicals poisoned our groundwater, poisoned my son, YOU killed my son, so YOU are a murderer, you piece of shit.

CEO

I'm really sorry, truly sorry, I mean it, I am really sorry, come on man, we, we did not, we did not poison your groundwater, I did not kill your kids, oh my god, I didn't kill anyone, I don't, no, no, honest, really, so sorry, we just, we didn't, we didn't mean to, we had a chemical accident and --

### **KIDNAPPER**

BULLSHIT, you intentionally dumped your poison in our groundwater, you KNEW, YOU KNEW that this stuff was poison, -

Kidnapper jumps forward, his back to the camera, stabs the CEO with a carpet knife, screams, hands grasping the chair

CEO

.-.- but we never, really, we never expected it to sink into your groundwater -

we didn't know, honest, man, we didn't know, we had no idea it would get into there, honest, please, we didn't know, please, just stop, stop

## **KIDNAPPER**

YOU just didn't care, you didn't give a shit - as long as you make money you don't care how many children die, right?

He steps forward and stabs CEO into his chest, more screams

CEO

OH MY GOD, ugh, I am not that guy, really, I'm not, please, please, please, I am not a killer, I don't

### **KIDNAPPER**

YOU are a terrorist, you are a toxin terrorist, you are a mass murderer, and I WILL END YOU !!!

CEO

NO, don't, Oh MY GOD, please don't, come on, oh god, no, I didn't kill anyone, it was an accident, and we paid a fine

### **KIDNAPPER**

FUCK YOU, (steps forward and punches CEO face, again, again, then steps back) You paid a fine and my children died, now we're even or what?

Kidnapper bends down, looks at CEO, close to his face.

### **KIDNAPPER**

NEW RULES. You poison our water, we kill your CEO, you WILL die! and we all get to watch.

Kidnapper steps back, out of view, sounds of a gun being cocked, shuffling noises...

CEO

Wait, what, no, no, don't, don't do it, no, don't, wait, oh my god, no, NO, NO, DON'T, NOOOO...

Five gunshots ring out, bullets impact in CEO's chest and stomach, bloody body slumps over. Camera stays on the body.

We hear footsteps, shuffling, a zipper sound, a few clicks, Kidnapper walks into view with his back to camera, places something on the dead body, he moves out of frame, a cardboard sign on the CEO's lap reads:

### "POLLUTERS MUST DIE"

Echoing shoes on wet concrete floors, cables being pulled, typing sounds, fade out, dark.

This page is blank, to give you a moment to reflect.

I will try to update this book with new additions here. Please keep in mind, there are bad news every week, sometimes even every day. It's impossible to keep up.

## October 4<sup>th</sup>, 2023:

September 2023 is now officially the hottest month – ever. We broke through the 1.8 degree Celsius increase over pre-industrial levels. A year ago many deniers and other fools were still talking about not breaking the 1.5 barrier, oh yeah, we have time, we can do so many things, and on and on they went. How do they feel now?

We broke through 1.8, we had 38 days in 2023 that broke through the 1.5 degrees already. We will easily break through the 2 degrees permanently in just a few years and the doomsday apocalyptic 3 degrees are just 15 -20 years behind that, if not sooner.

The worst of the absolute worst of our catastrophic climate warnings is coming true....

# From Wired.com, October 4<sup>th</sup>, 2023:

"This month was, in my professional opinion as a climate scientist—absolutely gobsmackingly bananas," Zeke Hausfather posted Tuesday on X (formerly known as Twitter).

Kristina Dahl, principal climate scientist at the Union of Concerned Scientists, read that post yesterday. "I've been sitting at my desk trying to think of a better way to describe that, but I can't," Dahl says. "It's just shocking."

"Concerning, worrying, wild—whatever superlative you want to use," says Kate Marvel, senior scientist at Project Drawdown, a nonprofit that fights climate change. "That's what it is."

JRA-55 beat the prior monthly record by over 0.5C and was around 1.8C warmer than preindustrial levels.

One more time. STOP talking about 1.5 degrees Celsius. We already broke through that number, yes, we are done talking about that little number. The damage is much bigger, it's here already and it will get far worse from now on and it will happen a lot sooner than any government or company wants us to believe.

## Aquifers are running dry – rapidly.

You should watch the documentary 'Day Zero', directed by Virginia Quinn and Kevin Sim. Probably on Amazon Prime, YouTube, or Netflix. Our population and economies have grown to a point where we need far more fresh water than we have available to us.

It took thousands of years for these aquifers to accumulate. Now more than half are about to go dry, in the next 20years or less. We have pumped out the underground water with

unbridled vigor, thinking this water will be available to us forever. Yeah, what da heck were we thinking? Who allowed that practice to go unchecked?

This is very scary, never mind climate change and droughts on the surface of our planet, the water resources underground are about to run out. That means no more irrigation, no more crops, no more animal feed, no more industry, no more... there just won't be any water left. We are pumping it out much faster than it could ever replenish. A climate / water scientist says it could take 6000 years for some of these aquifers to fill up again. The other half that will not necessarily dry out in 20 years, might last an additional 20, but that's not enough to save even half of humanity.

There is no turning back, we can't reverse this.

Our population is growing out of bounds, we need ever more water for food and industry – but we already know when we will run out. We are not even trying to restrain the taking of the last water, we are speeding up the extraction. Madness!

One of the scientists in this documentary asks a very profound question:

## What would you do, if you knew what I know?

Panama Canal – closed due to the Climate Crisis.

A major drought in South America has affected the Panama Canal. The Canal draws water from local and nearby lakes, to fill the gates in the Canal. Those lakes have run dry, the water level can no longer support this vital shipping lane. '

Panama is hoping for lots of rain, while they reduced the number of ships allowed to pass through the canal. There are plans to pump water from other lakes, far, far away. But that costs billions and takes many years — and eventually those lakes will dry out as well. So they hope, they hope for lots of rain. Be careful what you wish for.

Amazon River – lowest level in 120 years.

Droughts have fueled large wildfires that made the air hazardous for millions of people, while also drying out major rivers at a record pace. Boats sit on the dry riverbed. The Amazon rainforest is being destroyed by clearing for farming, timber, roads, hydropower dams, mining, house-building or other development.

That exposes more land to the sun, that increases local temperatures, that increases the drought, that will eventually kill the rest of the rainforest. Now they have to restrict the flow of ships and boats, the main form of transportation in the region and the only means of access to health and education facilities for many communities.

In Brazil, hydropower accounts for around 80% of domestic power generation, the government is firing up diesel power plants to avert blackouts as the drought in the Amazon wreaks havoc on logistics and kills river dolphins. Over 100 dolphins washed up in one week. A major

hydroelectric dam on the Madeira river was shut down due to low water levels. A new wildfire season looms ominously over the region, and it will get worse from there.

The deforestation of the Amazon continues, it has slowed down since Bolsonaro is gone, but has not stopped. It will never stop.

A new report came out October 23, 2023, in Nature Climate Change. It states that West Antarctica ice shelves will melt – no matter what. The tipping point is now far behind us. This will have devastating impacts on beaches and sea level rise around the planet.

West Antarctica is already the continent's largest contributor to global sea level rise and has enough ice to raise sea levels by about 5.3 meters, or more than 17 feet. It's home to the Thwaites Glacier, also known as the "Doomsday glacier," because its collapse will raise sea levels by several feet, forcing coastal communities and low-lying island nations to abandon their homes.

Those island nations did not cause this catastrophe. The G20 countries did this. We did this. And none of the G20 are offering any financial compensation nor are they offering to take in the refugees or stop the toxin terrorist from poisoning our planet.

Don't you think we owe them all the help in the world, right? Unless you are a toxin terrorist, and you just don't give a flying hoot. In which case I would like to suggest that you immediately jump into an active volcano  $\bigcirc$ 

Then this: The Guardian, October 24, 2023:

Earth's "vital signs" are worse than at any time in human history, an international team of scientists has warned, meaning life on the planet is in peril.

Their report found that 20 of the 35 planetary vital signs they use to track the climate crisis are at record extremes. As well as greenhouse gas emissions, global temperature and sea level rise, the indicators also include human and livestock population numbers.

Many climate records were broken by enormous margins in 2023, including global air temperature, ocean temperature and Antarctic sea ice extent, the researchers said. The highest monthly surface temperature ever recorded was in July and was the hottest the planet has been in over 120,000 years.

"Life on our planet is clearly under siege. The statistical trends show deeply alarming patterns of climate-related variables and disasters. We also found little progress to report as far as humanity combating climate change." Professor William Ripple, OSU.

## From Bloomberg Green

A staggering 58% of methane emissions escaping from US landfills come from food waste, according to an October report from the Environmental Protection Agency. And while landfill-related emissions are declining overall, methane emissions from food waste are on the rise. In 2020, 55 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions were released into the atmosphere by decomposing food in landfills — on par with the combined annual emissions of 15 coal-fired power plants.

33 million Americans lived in food-insecure households in 2021, a year in which the US produced 91 million tons of surplus food. Just 2% of it was donated.

There is no sign of intelligence in the way we produce and distribute food.

### Nov 27th, News came about COP28:

The United Arab Emirates reportedly planned to use its role as the host of the COP28 climate conference as a platform to lobby foreign government officials for oil and gas deals. The leaked documents were published Monday by the Centre for Climate Reporting, which worked in collaboration with the BBC.

The leaked records show that Sultan al-Jaber, who controversially serves as both COP28 president-designate and chief executive of state oil giant ADNOC (the Abu Dhabi National Oil Co.), planned to discuss fossil fuel deals with 15 countries during the forthcoming climate conference.

Is anyone really truly surprised by this? C'mon, you can't actually believe that any COP meeting will reduce pollution or present real solutions to reduce GHGs, can you?

They called it: "The Fox guarding the hen house." What else did anyone expect?

## Dec 6<sup>th</sup>.

It has become all too apparent. COP conferences are a total waste of time and a giant insult to the planet's population. Nothing, nothing real or meaningful was accomplished. COP28 is widely held as a colossal failure and waste of time. I hate being right.

But, hey, guess what, we will have another COP meeting, and another, and more after that...

We all need to understand that not only is it getting worse, but it is getting worse a lot faster and speeding up more than even the worst predictions could anticipate.

I trust this was enquestion a few thin		or you	, maybe	you	learned	something,	maybe	you	start	to
Thank you for read	ling.									
Please follow @Cl	<mark>imateBook</mark> o	n Insta	gram.							
Thank you.										